arXiv:1607.08326v1 [math.AG] 28 Jul 2016

QUASIMAPS TO RELATIVE GIT QUOTIENTS AND APPLICATIONS

JEONGSEOK OH

Abstract. In [12], Ciocan-Fontanine, Kim and Maulik defined ε-stable quasimap theory. After that, in [11], [9], Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim proved wall crossing formula which gave relations among ε-quasimap theories. Especially, it contains relation between $\varepsilon = 0+$ quasimap theory and Gromov-Witten theory. In many examples, this relation can be understood as mirror relation. They developed this theory for GIT quotient target spaces. In this paper, we will extend this story to total space of fiber bundle with GIT quotient fiber target spaces. As a corollary, we can give another proof of Brown's result in [6].

1. Intoroduction

1.1. **Moduli Stack of Stable Quasimaps.** Let E be a fiber bundle over a smooth complex projective variety Y with fiber V which is a complex affine variety with at worst locally complete intersection singularities. Suppose it has a fiberwise $\mathbf{G}$-action which is a linear reductive algebraic group over a complex field. Under suitable assumptions which will be explained in section 2.1, we can define a geometric invariant (GIT) quotient $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ for some character θ of $\mathbf{G}$. Some of additional assummptions will guarantee that $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ will be also a fiber bundle over Y with GIT quotient fiber $V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ and smooth complex projective variety embedded in stack quotient $[E/\mathbf{G}]$ as an open substack.

The main purpose of section 2 is to define *stable quasimaps* and *stable graph quasimaps* to $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$. In [12], Ciocan-Fontanine, Kim and Maulik already defined those things when Y is Spec$\mathbb{C}$. The reason why we choose the same names they used is that it can be regarded as a generalization of their quasimaps in a sense that if $Y = \text{Spec}\mathbb{C}$, then they are same.

In order to give a brief explanation about quasimaps, we need to present remaining assumptions first which can be summarized as follows: Suppose that there are vector space W, complex torus $\mathbf{T}$ and $(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$-action on $W \times V$ with trivial $\mathbf{G}$-action on W such that there exist morphisms of stacks

$$Y \to [W/\mathbf{T}],\ [E/\mathbf{G}] \to [W \times V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$$

sit in the fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [E/\mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [W \times V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & [W/\mathbf{T}] & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & [\text{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}]. \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, $W = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ and $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ for some positive integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that $W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T} \cong \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}$ which is embedded in $[W/\mathbf{T}]$ as an open substack where

Date: July 29, 2016.

$\eta = (1, \cdots, 1) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$. One more assumption is that $\bar{\psi}$ in (1.1) factors through

$$Y \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j-1} \hookrightarrow [W/\mathbf{T}]. \tag{1.2}$$

Let p be the composition $\bar{p} \circ \bar{\psi}$ and q be the right vertical morphism in (1.1).

Note that most of fiber bundles with toric varieties or partial flag varieties as its fiber can be represented by GIT quotients with the above assumptions. We will explain it in section 2.2. Throughout this paper, we call both as toric fibrations and partial flag variety fibrations, respectively.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}$ be moduli stack of genus g, k-pointed prestable curves and let $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ be moduli stack of genus g, k-pointed prestable maps with class $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z})$ to Y. For quotient stack $[U/\mathbf{H}]$, let $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([U/\mathbf{H}], \beta)$ be the moduli stack defined by for a scheme S,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([U/\mathbf{H}], \beta)(S) := \{(\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \in \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(S), \mathcal{P}, u \in H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathbf{H}} U)\} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is $\mathbf{H}$-principal bundle on $\mathcal{C}$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{H}}(U), \mathbb{Z})$ such that for each geometric point $s \in S$ and for $\mathbf{H}$-equivariant line bundle L on U,

$$\deg_{\mathcal{C}|_s}(u|_s^*(\mathcal{P}|_s \times_{\mathbf{H}} L)) = \beta(L).$$

By using p, we can define

$$p_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

Similarly, we have

$$q_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now, we can define morphism of stacks

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta') \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}], p_*\beta')$$

by $(C, f) \mapsto (C_0, Q_f)$ where C_0 is *contraction of rational tails* of C and Q_f is $\mathbf{T}$-principal bundle on C_0 determined by f and ψ in (1.2). We will explain details more precisely in sections 2.3 and 2.4. Also, we can define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0) \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}], q_*\beta_0)$$

by $(C_0, P, u) \mapsto (C_0, P/\mathbf{G})$.

Now, for $\beta = (\beta', \beta_0) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., $p_*\beta' = q_*\beta_0$, define $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([E/\mathbf{G}], \beta = (\beta', \beta_0))$ by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta') \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}], d)} \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0)$$

where $d := p_*\beta' = q_*\beta_0$. So, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([E/\mathbf{G}], \beta) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta') & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}], d). \end{array}$$

It seems to be applying $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(-)$ to (1.1). Note that $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([E/\mathbf{G}], \beta)$ is an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Now, we can give stability conditions on $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([E/\mathbf{G}], \beta)$ to get moduli stack of *stable quasimaps*

$$Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

We will define stability conditions in section 2.3. Similarly, we can define moduli stack of *stable graph quasimaps*

$$QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \beta)$$

in section 2.7.

In sections 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, we will prove the following:

Theorem 1.1.1. *$Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/_\theta\mathbf{G}, \beta)$ and $QG_{g,k}(E/\!\!/_\theta\mathbf{G}, \beta)$ are proper Deligne-Mumford stacks over $\mathbb{C}$ and have natural perfect obstruction theories.*

For the properness, we will use properness of stable (graph) quasimap moduli spaces which is defined already in [12], not the thing introduced here and properness of moduli spaces of stable maps. In order to define natural relative perfect obstruction theory of

$$Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to \mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k} := \coprod_d \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}], d),$$

consider relative perfect obstruction theories of forgetting morphisms

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta') \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0) \to \mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}^{g,k}$$

which are naturally defined in [12]. By using them, we will define relative perfect obstruction theories of

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta') \to \mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0) \to \mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$$

in section 2.6. By summing those two theories, we can find natural relative perfect obstruction theory. Note that $\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$ is a smooth Artin stack over $\mathbb{C}$ (see [12]). With this fact, we can define a natural perfect obstruction theory of $Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/_\theta\mathbf{G}, \beta)$. So, we can define a virtual fundamental class of virtual dimension

$$\beta(c_1([E/\mathbf{G}])) + (1-g)(\dim(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}) - 3) + k.$$

Similar arguments can be applied for stable graph quasimap moduli stacks.

In section 2.8, we will define evaluation maps to $E/\!\!/_\theta\mathbf{G}$ and define cohomological field theory by using evaluation maps.

1.2. **Invariants and I-Functions.** After developing quasimap theory, next purpose is to define I-functions by using genus 0 quasimap theory. Conversely, as J-functions in Gromov-Witten case, I-functions, conceptually, contain a lot of informations about genus 0 quasimap theory even if they seem to be nothing but generating functions of certain invariants defined by integrations on moduli spaces. At this moment, we want to give a definition of I-functions.

By using evaluation maps, ev_a, $a = 1, \cdots, k$, and virtual fundamental class $[Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}$, we can define descendant quasimap invariants by

$$\langle \sigma_1\psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k\psi_k^{l_k}\rangle_{g,k,\beta}^{Q} := \int_{[Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/_\theta\mathbf{G},\beta)]^{vir}} \prod_{a=1}^{k} \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\sigma_a)\psi_a^{l_a} \tag{1.4}$$

for integers $l_a \geq 0$ and cohomology classes $\sigma_a \in H^*(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$. Especially from section 3, we will focus only on $g = 0$ case. In this case, we will reduce the abused notations of (1.4) as

$$\langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k} \rangle_{k,\beta}^Q := \langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k} \rangle_{0,k,\beta}^Q.$$

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$ be the q-adic completion of the semigroup ring on the effective classes. Let $\{\gamma_i\}$ be homogeneous basis for $H^*(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\{\gamma^i\}$ be its dual basis with respect to Poincaré pairing. Also let $\mathbf{t} = \sum_i t_i \gamma_i$ be cohomology class with variables t_i and 1 be the unity of $H^*(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$. Let z be a formal variable. Define an I-function of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ by

$$I := \sum_{i,\beta,m \geq 0} \frac{q^\beta \gamma_i}{m!} \langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z-\psi)}, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{m+1,\beta}^Q.$$

Here, actually $\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z-\psi)}, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{m+1,\beta}^Q$ is not well-defined when $m = 0$ or $m = 1, \beta = 0$, since the moduli stacks cannot be defined. So we should define those things individually. Define

$$\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z-\psi)}, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{2,0}^Q := \frac{1}{z} \int_{E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \mathbf{t}.$$

In order to define invariants for $m = 0$, we will use the virtual localization method in [18] for $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on stable graph quasimap moduli spaces. For each k and β, we can choose specific connected component of $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed loci of $QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ (for details, see section 3), namely

$$F_{k,\beta} \subset QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbb{C}^*}.$$

Let $N_{k,\beta}^{vir}$ be the virtual normal bundle of this component with respect to natural perfect obstruction theory. Note that this component has an evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : F_{k,\beta} \to E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}.$$

We will explain it also in section 3. Now, define

$$\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z-\psi)} \rangle_{1,\beta}^Q := \int_{[F_{0,\beta}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^* \gamma^i}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{0,\beta}^{vir})}$$

where $e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(-)$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant Euler class.

Another important function is so called a small I-function of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ which is defined by

$$I^{small} := e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \left(1 + \sum_{i,\beta \neq 0} q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} \gamma_i \langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z-\psi)} \rangle_{1,\beta}^Q \right)$$

where $\mathbf{t}$ here is $\mathbf{t} = \sum_{i \in \{i | \gamma_i \in H^0(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}) \oplus H^2(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G})\}} t_i \gamma_i$. Details about I and I^{small} will be introduced in section 4.1.

1.3. **Small I-Functions for Some Examples.** One advantage of I-functions compared with J-functions is that they sometimes have very concrete formula because the structure of moduli spaces of stable (graph) quasimaps is much simpler than the ones of stable maps in a sense that informations of target space can determine the moduli spaces of quasimaps completely different from stable maps in some cases. Furthermore, in many interesting examples, especially for toric varieties, the

concrete formula for small I-function is same as I-function introduced by Givental which is defined by using B-model geometry (see [10]). This is actually very strong evidence of the fact that quasimap theory introduced in [12] is equivalent to B-model theory without mirror map.

In this paper, after defining I-functions, we will give a concrete formula of small I-functions for toric fibrations and partial flag variety fibrations. For toric fibrations, this small I-function is same as the one introduced by Brown (see [6]).

Let L_j, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, be line bundles on a smooth complex projective variety Y. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a complex torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, $n \leq r$. Consider $\mathbf{G}$-action on the total space of sum of those line bundles, called E, as

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r}(L_j \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j})$$

for some characters $\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_r$ of $\mathbf{G}$. Suppose that, for suitable character θ of $\mathbf{G}$, $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j} /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ is smooth projective toric variety. Then, we have a toric fibration $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$. Now, we can define I-functions of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$. We will discuss this procedure in sections 2.2, 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1.

Let $\pi : E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \to Y$ be the projection and

$$J_Y^{small} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_{\beta'} q^{\beta'} e^{\int_{\beta'} \mathbf{t}} J_{\beta'}$$

be the small J-function of Y in Gromov-Witten theory. Then, in sections 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1, we will calculate the small I-function of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ as follows:

Theorem 1.3.1. *The small I-function of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ is*

$$I^{small}(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_{\beta=(\beta',\beta_0)} q^{\beta} e^{\int_{\beta} \mathbf{t}} \pi^*(J_{\beta'}) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0}(D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j}(D_j + sz)}$$

where D_j is a divisor of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ defined by $\{L_j = 0\}$ and $d'_j = \beta_0(\rho_j) + \beta'(L_j)$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, r$.

As we mentioned before, this small I-function is the same as I-function introduced in [6].

We have a similar result for partial flag variety fibration case. Again, let $L_j \to Y$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, be line bundles on Y and $F := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L_j \to Y$.

Let $0 = r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} = r$ and

$$E := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, F) \to Y.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{G} := \prod_{i=1}^{n} GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

and define a right $\mathbf{G}$-action on E by

$$E \times \mathbf{G} \to E$$

$$((B_i)_{i=1}^n, (A_i)_{i=1}^n) \mapsto (A_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot B_i \cdot A_i)_{i=1}^n$$

where $A_{n+1} = \mathrm{Id}_r$. Choose $\theta := \det^n$. Then we have a partial flag variety fibration $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$. Again, as above, we can define I-functions of it.

Let

$$S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_n \subset S_{n+1} = \pi^*(F)$$

be tautological bundles on $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ where $\pi : E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \to Y$ is a projection as before. Let $H_{i,l}$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $l = 1, \cdots, r_i$, be Chern roots of $S_i^\vee$ and let $H_{n+1,j} := -\pi^*(c_1(L_j))$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$. Let $d_i^l := \beta(\det S_i^\vee)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $d_{n+1}^j := -\beta'(L_j)$ for $j = 1, \cdots, r$.

Theorem 1.3.2. *The small I-function of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ is*

$$I^{small}(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_\beta q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} \pi^*(J_{\beta'}) \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sum_l d_i^l = d_i'} \Big(\prod_{1 \le l \ne l' \le r_i} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d_i^l - d_i^{l'}} (H_{i,l} - H_{i,l'} + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0} (H_{i,l} - H_{i,l'} + sz)} \prod_{1 \le l \le r_i,\ 1 \le l' \le r_{i+1}} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0} (H_{i,l} - H_{i+1,l'} + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d_i^l - d_{i+1}^{l'}} (H_{i,l} - H_{i+1,l'} + sz)} \Big).$$

Details will be given in sections 2.2, 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1.

1.4. **Main Results.** The main result of this paper is that I-functions defined here are on the Lagrangian cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ if it has an extra torus action. Conceptually, this means that genus 0 quasimap theory defined here is related to genus 0 Gromov-Witten theory. In some sense, we believe this relation can be regarded as a mirror relation.

For $\gamma \in H^*(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ and $\star =$ "empty" or Q , define

$$S_{\mathbf{t}}^\star(z)(\gamma) := \gamma + \sum_{i,\beta,m \ge 0,(\beta,m) \ne (0,0)} \frac{q^\beta \gamma_i}{m!} \langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z - \psi}, \gamma, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{m+2,\beta}^\star$$

where $\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z-\psi}, \gamma, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{m+2,\beta}$ denote descendant invariants in Gromov-Witten theory.

For an invertible element $\gamma = 1 + O(q) \in H^*(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$, define

$$\tau_\gamma^Q(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{i,\beta,m \ge 0,(\beta,m) \ne (0,0)} \frac{q^\beta \gamma_i}{m!} \langle \gamma^i, \gamma, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{m+2,\beta}^Q$$

and

$$\tau_\gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{i,\beta,m \ge 0,(\beta,m) \ne (0,0)} \frac{q^\beta \gamma_i}{m!} \langle \gamma^i, \gamma, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{m+2,\beta}.$$

Let $\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t}) = (\tau_\gamma^Q)^{-1} \tau_\gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t})$.

Then, in section 5, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4.1. *Let $\mathbf{S}$ be an algebraic torus group of rank N. Suppose that there is an $\mathbf{S}$-action on V which commutes with $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-action such that the $\mathbf{S}$-fixed locus $(V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$ is finite. Then, for $\gamma \in H^*(E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ of the form $1 + O(q)$,*

$$S^Q_{\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t})}(z)(\gamma) = S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma).$$

For the proof of this theorem, we will use the almost same ideas and arguments in [11]. The importance of this theorem is that we can conclude I is on the overruled Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}ag_{E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}}$ of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ provided that it has nef anti-canonical class, in other words, it is semi-positive, because $I(\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t})) = I_0(q)(S^Q_{\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t})}(z)(1))$ for some $I_0(q) = 1 + O(q) \in \Lambda$ in this case and J function of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ defined by using

Gromov-Witten theory is $J(\mathbf{t}) = S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(1)$ in general. We will give details in section 4. We can regard theorem 1.4.1 as mirror theorem for semi-positive case.

More generally, in section 5, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4.2. *Suppose that there is an* $\mathbf{S}$*-action on* V *which commutes with* $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$*-action such that the* $\mathbf{S}$*-fixed locus* $(V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$ *is finite and 1-dimensional orbits of* $\mathbf{S}$*-action on* $V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ *are isolated. Then,* I *is on the Lagrangian cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of* $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$*.*

Also under the same assumption, we will prove the following theorem in section 6.

Theorem 1.4.3. *Suppose that there is an* $\mathbf{S}$*-action on* V *which commutes with* $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$*-action such that the* $\mathbf{S}$*-fixed locus* $(V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$ *is finite and 1-dimensional orbits of* $\mathbf{S}$*-action on* $V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ *are isolated. Then,* I^{small} *is on the Lagrangian cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of* $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$*.*

Since both toric fibrations and partial flag variety fibrations have such an extra torus action, theorems hold for those cases. Especially, for toric fibrations, theorem 1.4.3 gives another proof of Brown's result in [6].

In section 7, we will develop genus 0 quasimap theory for locally complete intersection X in $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ so that we will define I-functions for X. Note that this theory will match original genus 0 quasimap theory if X can be written as GIT quotient. Now, even though X itself cannot have $\mathbf{S}$-action, if V has a torus action as before, then we have mirror theorems for X such as theorems 1.4.1, 1.4.2 and 1.4.3.

1.5. **Aknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Bumsig Kim for initially suggesting the problem, giving countless invaluable suggestions, support and advice. He also thanks Ionuţ Ciocan-Fontanine for a lot of valuable discussions, suggestions and comments on earlier drafts. Special thanks are due to Jonathan Wise and Pablo Solis for helpful discussions. He thanks Korea Institute for Advanced Study for financial support, excellent working conditions and inspiring research environment, either.

The research of the author was partially supported by the NRF grant 2007-0093859 and by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2013R1A1A2007780).

2. Stable Quasimaps

2.1. **GIT Quotients and Its Representations.** We are always working on the base field $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a linear reductive algebraic group and V be an affine variety. Suppose that V has at worst locally complete intersection singularities. Let Y be a non-singular projective variety and

$$\pi : E \to Y$$

be a $\mathbf{G}$-*fiber bundle over* Y *with fiber* V. It means that there is a fiberwise $\mathbf{G}$-action on the total space E satisfying the property that for each $y \in Y$, there is an affine neighborhood $U \subset Y$ and a $\mathbf{G}$-action on V such that there exists a $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\varphi : \pi^{-1}(U) := E \times_Y U \to V \times U$$

with $p_2 \circ \varphi = \pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ where $p_2 : V \times U \to U$ is the second projection.

For the purpose of our work, let's assume that the following four assumptions are given:

(1) There is a morphism of varieties
$$\psi : Y \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}$$
for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $n_1, \cdots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.
(2) There is a $(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$-action on V where $\mathbf{T} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ is an algebraic torus of rank r which is the same number appearing in (1).
(3) There is a character $\theta : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}^*$. For later use, denote the group of characters by $\chi(-)$ for given algebraic group. Then, this assumption is equivalent to the fact that there is $\theta \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$.
(4) There is a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

which satisfies the following five conditions:

(1) E is pull-back of the vector bundle $[(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j}) \times V/\mathbf{T}]$ on $[(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j})/\mathbf{T}]$ under the composition morphism between stacks
$$Y \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{r} [\mathbb{C}^{n_j}/\mathbb{C}^*] \cong [(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j})/\mathbf{T}].$$
Here, we denote quotient stacks by $[-/-]$. In other words, it says that
$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & [(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j}) \times V/\mathbf{T}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & [(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j})/\mathbf{T}] \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$
is a fiber diagram. For simplicity, define $W := \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$, $\tilde{V} := W \times V$.
(2) The morphism $E \to [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T}]$ in (2.1) is $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant.
(3) $A^{\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}} \cong \mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra where A is coordinate ring of $\tilde{V}$ which is affine. Here, the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A inherits $(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$-action from the one on $\tilde{V} = \mathrm{Spec}A$.
(4) $V^s(\mathbf{G}, \theta) = V^{ss}(\mathbf{G}, \theta) \neq \emptyset$ and it is non-singular where $V^s(\mathbf{G}, \theta)$ (resp. $V^{ss}(\mathbf{G}, \theta)$) is the stable (resp. semi-stable) locus with respect to the $\mathbf{G}$-action on V and linearization $\theta \in \chi(\mathbf{G}) \subset \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(V)$. Moreover, the $\mathbf{G}$-action on $V^s(\theta)$ is free.

Before describing fifth condition, let me remind the fact that
$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1} \cong W /\!\!/_{a\eta} \mathbf{T}$$
for any positive integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ where η is a character of $\mathbf{T}$ defined to be
$$(1, \cdots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \cong \chi(\mathbf{T})$$
and the double quotient means the GIT quotient of an affine varietry with reductive group action which is explained in [12]. Indeed
$$W^s(\mathbf{T}, a\eta) = W^{ss}(\mathbf{T}, a\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (\mathbb{C}^{n_j} \backslash \{0\})$$

for any positive integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. It is non-singular and $\mathbf{T}$-action on it is free. Thus

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j-1} \cong [W^{ss}(\mathbf{T}, a\eta)/\mathbf{T}] \cong W /\!\!/_{a\eta} \mathbf{T}.$$

Define $\tilde{\theta} := m\eta + \theta \in \chi(\mathbf{T}) \oplus \chi(\mathbf{G}) \cong \chi(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$. Then fifth condition is

(5)
$$\tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \tilde{\theta}) = W^{ss}(\mathbf{T}, m\eta) \times V^{ss}(\mathbf{G}, \theta).$$

Now, with this preparation, we can define the *GIT quotient* of E with respect to $\mathbf{G}$-action and θ by

$$E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} = \mathbb{P}\mathrm{roj} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (R^0\pi_*(L_\theta^{\otimes n}))^{\mathbf{G}}$$

where $L_\theta = E \times \mathbb{C}_\theta \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(E)$, $\mathbb{C}_\theta$ is one-dimensional representation space of $\mathbf{G}$ determined by θ. Here, $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}(R^0\pi_*(L_\theta^{\otimes n}))^{\mathbf{G}}$ is a sheaf of graded $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras. Note that for any n, $R^0\pi_*(L_\theta^{\otimes n})$ is a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules because $R^i\pi_*(L_\theta^{\otimes n}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. So, $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ is a fiber bundle over Y with fiber $V /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$. The condition (4) guarantees that it is non-singular and an open substack of $[E/\mathbf{G}]$. Simply, we will frequently use the notation $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ instead of $E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$. We also call both

$$E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \to Y \text{ and } [E/\mathbf{G}] \to Y$$

as π when the context is clear.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} & \hookrightarrow & [E/\mathbf{G}] \\ & \searrow^{\pi} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Y. \end{array}$$

From conditions (1) and (2), we have fiber diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [E/\mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & [W/\mathbf{T}] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{B}\mathbf{T}. \end{array}$$

Here, $\mathrm{B}\mathbf{T}$ is the classifying space of $\mathbf{T}$, or $\mathrm{B}\mathbf{T} := [\mathrm{pt}/\mathbf{T}]$. Conditions (3) and (5) guarantee that we have a GIT quotient

$$\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}) := \mathrm{Proj} \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(\tilde{V}, L_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\otimes n})^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} \cong [\tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \tilde{\theta})/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$$

which is non-singular projective and an open substack of $[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$ where $L_{\tilde{\theta}} = \tilde{V} \times \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\theta}} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{V})$. Moreover,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & W /\!\!/_{m\eta} \mathbf{T} \end{array}$$

is a fiber diagram. Because we have this diagram, we can see that $E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}$ is projective.

Note that the GIT quotient is well-defined by using only three data $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$.

Definition 2.1.1. A *representation* of $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ is

$$(\psi : Y \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}, (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-action on } V, m)$$

which satisfies the conditions (1)-(5) with θ.

2.2. **Main Examples.**

2.2.1. *Toric Fibrations.* Let $L_j \to Y$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, be line bundles on Y. Sometimes we regard L_j as a corresponding invertible sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules for each j. Let

$$\pi : E := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L_j \to Y$$

be the sum of those line bundles. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be an n-dimensional torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with $n \leq r$. Suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ acts fiberwisely on the total space E by

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (L_j \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j})$$

for some $\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_r \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$.

Suppose also that there is $\theta \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$ such that $(\mathbb{C}^r)^s(\mathbf{G}, \theta) = (\mathbb{C}^r)^{ss}(\mathbf{G}, \theta) \neq \emptyset$, it is non-singular and $\mathbf{G}$-action on it is free. Then

$$X := \mathbb{C}^r /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$$

is a non-singular toric variety. Assume further that X is projective. With this assumption, we know that $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is a fiber bundle over Y with fiber X.

Proposition 2.2.1. Choose an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on Y. For $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ above, there are positive integers $l_1, \cdots, l_r > 0$ such that if we define a vector bundle F on Y with fiberwise $\mathbf{G}$-action as

$$F := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (L_j(l_j) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j}),$$

then $(F, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ has a representation and

$$F /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \cong E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$$

as a fiber bundle.

Proof. First of all, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.2. *There is one-parameter family of* $\mathbf{G}$

$$\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbf{G} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

such that $\rho_j \circ \lambda \in \chi(\mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ *corresponds to a positive integer* $a_j > 0$ *for every* j*, i.e.*

$$\rho_j \circ \lambda : t \mapsto t^{a_j},\ a_j > 0.$$

Proof. Consider the kernel M of $\mathbb{Z}^r \to \chi(\mathbf{G})$, $e_j \mapsto \rho_j$. Then, $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r-n}$. Let $v_j \in M^\vee$ be the image of $e_j^\vee$ under the dual morphism $(\mathbb{Z}^r)^\vee \to M^\vee$. Then we can find the fan Σ of X in $M^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ whose 1-skeleton $\Sigma(1)$ consists of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot v_1, \cdots, \mathbb{R}_{\geq} \cdot v_r$

(See [13], [14]). Since X is projective, Σ is a complete fan. So there are positive integers $a_1, \cdots, a_r > 0$ such that

$$a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_r v_r = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Now, consider the rank 1 submodule of $(\mathbb{Z}^r)^\vee$ generated by $\sum a_j e_j^\vee$. This inclusion gives a morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z} \cong \chi(\mathbb{C}^*).$$

Since (2.2), this morphism factors as

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \to \chi(\mathbf{G}) \to \chi(\mathbb{C}^*)$$

where the first morphism is $e_j \mapsto \rho_j$. Then, the second morphism gives λ. □

There is a positive integer $l > 0$ such that $L_j(la_j)$ is generated by its global sections for all j. Let $l_j := la_j$ and

$$F := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (L_j(l_j) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j}).$$

Lemma 2.2.3.

$$E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \cong F /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}.$$

Proof. Locally, it is easy to see that they are isomorphic. Let $\phi_j \in H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^*)$ be transition functions of L_j and $\phi \in H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^*)$ be transition functions of $\mathcal{O}(l)$. Then the transition functions of E is

$$\mathrm{diag}(\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_r)$$

and transition functions of F is

$$\mathrm{diag}(\phi_1 \phi^{a_1}, \cdots, \phi_r \phi^{a_r}).$$

Even though they are diffenrent as a linear map from $\mathbb{C}^r$ to itself, they are same as a map from $\mathbb{C}^r /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ to itself because of lemma 2.2.2. □

Let $L_j' := L_j(l_j)$ and $n_j := \dim H^0(Y, L_j')$. Since L_j' is generated by its global sections, we have a map

$$\psi_j : Y \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, L_j')^\vee) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}$$

such that $\psi_j^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_j-1}}(1)) \cong L_j'$. So, we have a map

$$\psi := \prod_{j=1}^{r} \psi_j : Y \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}.$$

Define a $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$-action on $\mathbb{C}^r$ by

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}_{\eta_j + \rho_j}$$

where η_j, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, are standard basis of $\chi(\mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$.

It is easy to check that by using ψ and $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^r$ defined above, the conditions (1) and (2) in the previous section are fulfilled.

The remaining thing to prove the proposition is to choose $m > 0$ satisfying the condition (5). Let $W := \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$, $V := \mathbb{C}^r$ and $\tilde{V} := W \times V$. In lemma 2.2.2, we may assume that $\gcd(a_1, \cdots, a_r) = 1$ so that λ is a monomorphism. Consider

$\mathbf{T}\times\mathbb{C}^*$-action on V defined by induced action from $id_{\mathbf{T}}\times\lambda:\mathbf{T}\times\mathbb{C}^*\hookrightarrow\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}$. Then

$$V=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r}\mathbb{C}_{\eta_j+a_j\cdot\mathbf{1}}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is $id_{\mathbb{C}^*}\in\chi(\mathbb{C}^*)\cong\mathbb{Z}$.

Let u_j be an element in $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ corresponding to $\eta_j+a_j\cdot\mathbf{1}\in\chi(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbb{C}^*)$ and $e_1,\cdots,e_{r+1}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$. So, $u_j=e_j+a_je_{r+1}$ for $j=1,\cdots,r$. Let $a:=\theta\circ\lambda\in\chi(\mathbb{C}^*)\cong\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\eta:=e_1+\cdots+e_r \text{ and } \alpha:=ae_{r+1}.$$

Then it is possible to choose $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying the followings:

- $m\eta+\alpha$ is in the interior of the cone generated by $e_1,\cdots,e_r,u_j$ for all $j=1,\cdots,r$.
- $m\eta+\alpha$ is not in the cone generated by $e_1,\cdots,\hat{e_j},\cdots,e_r,u_1,\cdots,u_r$ for all $j=1,\cdots,r$.

Take $\tilde{\theta}:=m\eta+\theta\in\chi(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})$. Then, it remains to show that

$$\tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G},\tilde{\theta})=W^{ss}(\mathbf{T},m\eta)\times V^{ss}(\mathbf{G},\theta).$$

For simplicity, let $\tilde{V}^{ss}:=\tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G},\tilde{\theta})$, $W^{ss}:=W^{ss}(\mathbf{T},m\eta)$ and $V^{ss}:=V^{ss}(\mathbf{G},\theta)$. First, we will show that

$$W^{ss}\times V^{ss}\subset\tilde{V}^{ss}.$$

For this, let $W_j:=\mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ and $x_{j1},\cdots,x_{jn_j}$ be its coordinates. Also, let $y_1,\cdots,y_r$ be the coordinates of V. Now, take $(w,v)\in W^{ss}\times V^{ss}$. Since $v\in V^{ss}$, there is a monomial

$$g=y_1^{b_1}\cdots y_r^{b_r}\in\mathbb{C}[y_1,\cdots,y_r]$$

such that $g:V\to\mathbb{C}_{k\theta}$ is $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant for some positive integer k and $g(v)\neq0$. Let $f_j:=x_{j1}+\cdots+x_{jn_j}\in\mathbb{C}[x_{j1},\cdots,x_{jn_j}]$ for each j and let

$$h:=f_1^{km-b_1}\cdots f_r^{km-b_r}g\in\mathbb{C}[x,y]=\mathbb{C}[W\times V].$$

Since m can be chosen large enough, we may assume that $km-b_j>0$ for all j. It is easy to check that

$$h:\tilde{V}\to\mathbb{C}_{k\tilde{\theta}}$$

is $\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}$-equivariant and $h(w,v)\neq0$. Thus, $(w,v)\in\tilde{V}^{ss}$.

Second, we will show that $\tilde{V}^{ss}\subset W^{ss}\times V^{ss}$. Equivalently, we need to show that

$$(W^u\times V)\cup(W\times V^u)\subset\tilde{V}^u$$

where $\tilde{V}^u$ is the unstable locus with respect to given character and others are similarly defined. Suppose that $(w,v)\in\tilde{V}^{ss}$. That means there is an

$$h:\tilde{V}\to\mathbb{C}_{k\tilde{\theta}}$$

$\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}$-equivariant map for some k with $h(w,v)\neq0$. Compose it with

$$i_w:V\hookrightarrow\tilde{V},\ y\mapsto(w,y),$$

then, $h\circ i_w:V\to\mathbb{C}_{k\theta}$ is a $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant map and $h\circ i_w(v)\neq0$. Thus, $(w,v)\in W\times V^{ss}$. Therefore,

$$W\times V^u\subset\tilde{V}^u.$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{V}^{ss} \subset \tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*, m\eta + a) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (W_i \backslash \{0\}) \times (V \backslash \{0\}) \subset W^{ss} \times V.$$

Here, the second equality follows from the choice of m. Therefore,

$$W^u \times V \subset \tilde{V}^u.$$

So, we have

$$W^{ss} \times V^{ss} = \tilde{V}^{ss}.$$

□

2.2.2. *Partial Flag Variety Fibrations.* Let $L_j \to Y$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, be line bundles on Y and $F := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L_j \to Y$. Let $0 = r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} = r$ and

$$E := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, F) \to Y.$$

Also, let

$$\mathbf{G} := \prod_{i=1}^{n} GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Suppose $\mathbf{G}$-action on E is defined by

$$E \times \mathbf{G} \to E$$

$$((B_i)_{i=1}^n, (A_i)_{i=1}^n) \mapsto (A_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot B_i \cdot A_i)_{i=1}^n$$

where $A_{n+1} = \mathrm{Id}_r$. This action is well-defined. Indeed, let ϕ_j be transition functions of L_j. Then the transition functions of E is

$$V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_i}, \mathbb{C}^{r_{i+1}}) \to V$$

$$(b_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto (b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1}, \mathrm{diag}(\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_r) \cdot b_n).$$

It is easy to check that this commutes with the $\mathbf{G}$-action on each fiber of E.

Take $\theta := \det^n \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$. Then $V^s(\mathbf{G}, \theta) = V^{ss}(\mathbf{G}, \theta) \neq \emptyset$ and it is non-singular. Moreover, $\mathbf{G}$-action on $V^s(\mathbf{G}, \theta)$ is free. We can see that

$$X := V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$$

is a partial flag variety and $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is a fiber bundle over Y with fiber X.

Proposition 2.2.4. Choose an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on Y. For $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ above, there is a positive integer $l > 0$ such that if we define E' as

$$E' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, F(l))$$

and a $\mathbf{G}$-action on E' as the same one on E, then $(F, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ has a representation and

$$E' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \cong E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}.$$

Proof. Take a positive integer l such that $L'_j := L_j(l)$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, are generated by their global sections. Let

$$E' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L'_j) \to Y.$$

Since there is one-parameter family

$$\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbf{G},\ t \mapsto (t \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{r_i})_{i=1}^n,$$

by the similar argument with lemma 2.2.3,

$$E /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \cong E' /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}.$$

Let $n_j := \dim H^0(Y, L'_j)$ for each j. As before, since L'_j is generated by its global sections, we have a map

$$\psi_j : Y \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, L'_j)^\vee) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}$$

such that $\psi_j^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_j-1}}(1)) \cong L'_j$ for each j. So, we have a map

$$\psi : Y \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}.$$

A $\mathbf{T} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$-action on $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_i}, \mathbb{C}^{r_{i+1}})$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{T} \times V \to V$$

$$((t_1, \cdots, t_r), (b_i)_{i=1}^n) \mapsto (b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1}, \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \cdots, t_r) \cdot b_n).$$

Then this action commutes with the $\mathbf{G}$-action on V. So, this gives a $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-representation on V.

It is easy to check that by using ψ and $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-action on V defined above, the conditions (1) and (2) in the previous section are fulfilled.

As before, let $W := \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ and $\tilde{V} := W \times V$. Consider the $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$-action on V induced from $id_{\mathbf{T}} \times \lambda : \mathbf{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$ which is

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_i}, \mathbb{C}^{r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_n}, \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}_{\eta_j + \mathbf{1}})$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is $id_{\mathbb{C}^*} \in \chi(\mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and η_j, $j = 1, \cdots, r$, are standard basis of $\chi(\mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$. Let u_j be an element in $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ corresponding to $\eta_j + \mathbf{1} \in \chi(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ for each j and $e_1, \cdots, e_{r+1}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$. So, $u_j = e_j + e_{r+1}$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$. Let $a := \theta \circ \lambda \in \chi(\mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$. Let

$$\eta = e_1 + \cdots + e_r \text{ and } \alpha = a e_{r+1}.$$

As before, we can choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying the followings:

- $m\eta + \alpha$ is in the interior of the cone generated by $e_1, \cdots, e_r, u_j$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, r$.
- $m\eta + \alpha$ is not in the cone generated by $e_1, \cdots, \hat{e_j}, \cdots, e_r, u_1, \cdots, u_r$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, r$.

Take $\tilde{\theta} := m\eta + \theta \in \chi(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$. Then, by the same argument of the last part in the proof of proposition 2.2.1, we can derive the equality

$$\tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \tilde{\theta}) = W^{ss}(\mathbf{T}, m\eta) \times V^{ss}(\mathbf{G}, \theta).$$

Hence the condition (5) in the previous section is satisfied. □

2.3. **Stable Quasimaps.** Suppose that $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ satisfying the conditions (3) and (4) is given which has representation

$$(\psi : Y \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1},\ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G} \to \mathrm{Aut}(V),\ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}).$$

Fix $\beta = (\beta', \beta_0) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\chi(\mathbf{T}), \mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z})$ where the fiber product is defined by using $Y \to \mathrm{B}\mathbf{T}$ and $[V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \to \mathrm{B}\mathbf{T}$ below;

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [E/\mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [W \times V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & [W/\mathbf{T}] & \longrightarrow & [\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}/\mathbf{T}] = \mathrm{B}\mathbf{T}. \end{array}$$

Here, Pic (resp. $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$) stands for Picard group (resp. equivariant Picard group). Note that

$$\mathrm{Pic}([W/\mathbf{T}]) = \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(W),\ \mathrm{Pic}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]) = \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mathbf{T}) = \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}) = \chi(\mathbf{T}).$$

Also, fix $g, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $2g + k \geq 2$. Consider the following data:

$$(\phi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : C \to Y,\ P,\ u)$$

- $(C, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ and $(C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ are prestable k-pointed curves with genus g.
- ϕ is a contraction of all rational tails of C. Note that the rational tail is a maximum (about partial order defined by inclusions) connected tree of rational curves with no markings such that the intersection of itself with completion of its complement in C is just one nodal point in C.
- f is a prestable map with degree β' such that f restricted on each rational tail is stable.
- P is a $\mathbf{G}$-principal bundle on C_0.
- $u \in \Gamma(C_0, P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V)$.
- $\beta_0(L) = \deg(u^*(P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} L))$ for all $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V)$.

In the last two conditions, $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-principal bundle P_f on C_0 is defined by using f as follows (See [11], [21]):

For $j_0 = 1, \cdots, r$, having

$$C \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\psi} \textstyle\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_{j_0} - 1}$$

is equivalent to the fact that we have a surjective morphism of sheaves on C

$$\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n_{j_0}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{j_0}} \mathcal{L}_{j_0} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{j_0}$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_{j_0}-1}}(1)$ on C. Let d_{j_0} be degree of $\mathcal{L}_{j_0}$. Then we can see that d_{j_0} is the image of β' under

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^{n_{j_0}-1}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $T_1, \cdots, T_l$ be rational tails on C and $\tilde{C}$ be the closure of $C\backslash(\cup_{i=1}^{l} T_i)$. Let $z_1, \cdots, z_l$ be corresponding points of $T_1, \cdots, T_l$ in $\tilde{C}$. Consider the following morphism of sheaves on $\tilde{C}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}^{\oplus n_{j_0}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{j_0}|_{\tilde{C}}} \mathcal{L}_{j_0}|_{\tilde{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{j_0}|_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{ij_0} z_i)$$

where $a_{ij_0} = \deg(\mathcal{L}_{j_0}|_{T_i})$. Since $\tilde{C}$ is isomorphic to C_0 along the composition $\tilde{C} \hookrightarrow C \to C_0$, the above map gives a morphism of stacks

$$C_0 \to [\mathbb{C}^{n_{j_0}}/\mathbb{C}^*]$$

of degree $d_{j_0} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^{n_{j_0}-1}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbb{C}^{n_{j_0}}), \mathbb{Z})$. So, we have a morphism of stacks

$$C_0 \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} [\mathbb{C}^{n_j}/\mathbb{C}^*] \cong [\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j}/\mathbf{T}]$$

with degree $d = (d_1, \cdots, d_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j}), \mathbb{Z})$. Note that d is the image of β' under

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j-1}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}}(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

In conclusion, from the definition of morphisms to quotient stacks, we have a $\mathbf{T}$-principal bundle Q_f on C_0 and an $\mathbf{T}$-equivariant morphism

$$Q_f \to \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n_j} = W. \tag{2.3}$$

Define $P_f := Q_f \times_{C_0} P$.

We call the above data as a genus g, k-pointed *quasimap* with degree β. Now, we want to define the stability of quasimaps. Suppose that we have a genus g, k-pointed quasimap with degree β

$$(\phi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : C \to Y,\ P,\ u).$$

Then, by (2.3), we have

$$P_f \to Q_f \to W.$$

Hence, we have a section

$$C_0 \to P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} W.$$

Combining this with u, we have a section

$$\tilde{u} : C_0 \to (P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} W) \times_{C_0} (P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V) \cong P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} (W \times V).$$

Thus, we have a genus g, k-pointed quasimap (in the sense of [11], [12]) $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u})$ with degree $\beta'' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{V}), \mathbb{Z})$ where β'' is the image of β_0 under the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{V}), \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by zero section $V \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}$. In fact, this is an isomorphism because

$$\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{V}). \tag{2.4}$$

It is well-known fact that $\mathrm{Pic}(V) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{V})$ where the isomorphism is induced by zero section $V \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}$ and projection $\tilde{V} \to V$. Since both are $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-equivariant,

we can easily check (2.4) because $\chi(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})$ is discrete. By using this fact, we can check that $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u})$ made above has degree β''.

Definition 2.3.1. A quasimap

$$(\phi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : C \to Y,\ P,\ u)$$

is *prestable* with respect to θ if its corresponding one

$$(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u}) \tag{2.5}$$

is prestable with respect to $\tilde{\theta} := m\eta + \theta$ in the sense of [11], [12]. It is *stable* with respect to θ if for each irreducible component $C' \subset C_0$, $f|_{C'}$ is non-constant or $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u})$ is stable on C' with respect to $\tilde{\theta}$.

Definition 2.3.2. A *family of quasimaps over a* $\mathbb{C}$*-scheme* S *with genus* g, k*-markings and degree* β consists of

$$(\phi : (\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : \mathcal{C} \to Y,\ \mathcal{P},\ u)$$

- $(\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$, $(\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \in \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(S)$ where $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}$ is the stack of genus g, k-pointed prestable curves.
- The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & S \end{array}$$

  is commutative and for each geometric point $s \in S$, the pull-back of ϕ

$$\phi_s : \mathcal{C}_s \to \mathcal{C}_{0s}$$

  is contraction of rational tails.
- $(\mathcal{C} \to S,\ f : \mathcal{C} \to Y) \in \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta')(S)$ where $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta')$ is the stack of genus g, k-pointed prestable maps to Y with degree β'.
- For each geometric point $s \in S$, the pull-back of f

$$f_s : \mathcal{C}_s \to Y$$

  is stable on each rational tails of $\mathcal{C}_s$.
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a $\mathbf{G}$-principal bundle on $\mathcal{C}_0$.
- $u \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V)$.
- For each point $s \in S$ and each $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V)$,

$$\beta_0(L) = \deg((u|_{\mathcal{C}_{0s}})^*(\mathcal{P}_{f,s} \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} L))$$

  where $\mathcal{P}_{f,s}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_f$ on $\mathcal{C}_{0s}$.

Here, $\mathcal{P}_f$ is defined as follows:

First of all, one can find the *stabilization*

$$((\mathcal{C}', p_1', \cdots, p_k'), f' : \mathcal{C}' \to W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T} \cong \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1})$$

of $((\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k), \mathcal{C} \to Y \to W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T})$ by contracting unstable components in $\mathcal{C}$ so that we have the morphism of prestable curves $(\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (\mathcal{C}', p_1', \cdots, p_k')$

such that



is commutative and $((\mathcal{C}', p_1', \cdots, p_k'), f')$ is stable.

Next, by using $((\mathcal{C}', p_1', \cdots, p_k'), f')$, we can construct a stable quasimap

$$((\mathcal{C}'', p_1'', \cdots, p_k''), \mathcal{Q}, u'' : \mathcal{C}'' \to \mathcal{Q} \times_{\mathbf{T}} W)$$

by contracting rational tails. Here, $\mathcal{Q}$ stands for appropriate $\mathbf{T}$-principal bundle on $\mathcal{C}''$ (See [11], [21]). From the construction, we can see that morphism $(\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (\mathcal{C}'', p_1'', \cdots, p_k'')$ can be factored as



Now, we have a $\mathbf{T}$-principal bundle $\mathcal{Q}_f$ on $\mathcal{C}_0$ by pulling back $\mathcal{Q}$ under q and define $\mathcal{P}_f := \mathcal{Q}_f \times_{\mathcal{C}_0} \mathcal{P}$. Note that this construction is same at each fiber as the one in (2.3).

We say it is *(pre)stable* with respect to θ if all of its geometric fibers are (pre)stable with respect to θ.

Definition 2.3.3. The *isomorphism* between two (pre)stable quasimaps

$$(\phi : (\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : \mathcal{C} \to Y,\ \mathcal{P},\ u)$$

and

$$(\phi' : (\mathcal{C}', p_1', \cdots, p_k') \to (\mathcal{C}_0', p_1', \cdots, p_k'),\ f' : \mathcal{C}' \to Y,\ \mathcal{P}',\ u')$$

over S is a tuple of isomorphisms

$$(\psi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}', \xi : \mathcal{P} \to \psi_0^* \mathcal{P}')$$

such that

$$f' \circ \psi = f,\ \psi \circ p_a = p_a',\ \text{and } \psi_0^* u' = (\zeta, \xi) \circ u$$

where $\psi_0 : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{C}_0'$ is an isomorphism between contracted curves induced by ψ; ζ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}_f \to \psi_0^* \mathcal{Q}_{f'}'$ between $\mathbf{T}$-principal bundles over $\mathcal{C}_0$ induced by f and f' which commutes the diagram



so that it is uniquely determined; $(\zeta, \xi) : \mathcal{P}_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V \to \psi_0^* \mathcal{P}_{f'} \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V$ is an induced isomorphism. Note that ψ_0 and ζ here are uniquely determined by given data.

Definition 2.3.4. The moduli space of genus g, k-pointed stable (resp. prestable) quasimaps with degree β is denoted by

$$Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \text{ (resp. } Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)).$$

It is easy to see that there is a morphism between stacks

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'')$$

where the second one is the moduli stack of genus g, k-pointed prestable quasimaps (in the sense of [11], [12]) to $\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$ with degree β''. Note that this second one is an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Also, we can see that $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is an open substack of $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$.

2.4. **Quasimap Moduli Stacks.** Recall that $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta')$ introduced in previous section is an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta')$ be the substack of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta')$ consisting of genus g, k-pointed prestable maps to Y with degree β' which are non-constant on each component of rational tails. Then it is an open substack of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(Y, \beta')$. This statement can be proven as similar way of [23, lemma 5.1]. So, it is also an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. There is a morphism of stacks

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta')$$

defined by

$$(\phi : (\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : \mathcal{C} \to Y,\ \mathcal{P},\ u) \mapsto ((\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f).$$

Let $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_{\eta} \mathbf{T}, d)$ be the moduli stack of genus g, k-pointed prestable quasimaps (in the sense of [11], [12]) to $\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1} = W /\!\!/_{\eta} \mathbf{T}$ with degree d. Note that this stack is also an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Then there is a morphism of stacks

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'') \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_{\eta} \mathbf{T}, d)$$

defined by

$$((\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ \mathcal{P},\ u) \mapsto ((\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ \mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{P}/\mathbf{G},\ u' : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{Q} \times_{\mathbf{T}} W)$$

where u' is a composition of $u : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}} \tilde{V}$ and $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}} \tilde{V} \to \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}} W \cong \mathcal{Q} \times_{\mathbf{T}} W$. This is well-defined by the condition (5) in section 2.1.

So far we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) & \longrightarrow & Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta') & & Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_{\eta} \mathbf{T}, d). \end{array}$$

In order to define a morphism of stacks

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta') \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_{\eta} \mathbf{T}, d)$$

such that the above is commutative, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.1. *Suppose $2g + k \geq 2$. Then, there is a morphism*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,k} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}$$

which is defined by contraction of rational tails.

Proof. We will give a sketch of the proof of this lemma.

For the flat family of genus g, k-pointed prestable curves $\mathcal{C} \to S$, we can choose an étale cover $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of S such that on each U_α, we can define a contraction of rational tails by taking suitable Cartier divisors passing through rational bridges or elliptic curves perpendicularly and following the usual arguments about existence

of stabilization morphism of prestable curves (See [3]). Here, rational bridge means rational unstable component which is not a part of rational tails.

Fiberwisely, this procedure is nothing but adding at least one extra markings on each unstable components which are not a part of rational tails first and then taking stabilization map, removing added markings. The above procedure can be done on each U_α if we use stratification of family of prestable curves.

For different choices of Cartier divisors, contraction of rational tails are all identical so that we can glue them and get a contraction of rational tails $\mathcal{C}_0 \to S$. By the same reason, it is uniquely defined so that we have a stack morphism. □

Now, we can define a morphism

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta') \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)$$

as follows: for $((\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f) \in \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')(S)$, there is the contraction of rational tails

$$(\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \in \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(S)$$

by lemma 2.4.1. As in the previous section, taking stabilization of $((\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k), f)$, mapping this stabilization to stable quasimap and pulling back this to $(\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ give us a prestable quasimap

$$((\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ \mathcal{Q}_f,\ u' : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{Q}_f \times_{\mathbf{T}} W) \in Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)(S).$$

Define $\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta') \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)$ by

$$((\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f) \mapsto ((\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ \mathcal{Q}_f,\ u' : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{Q}_f \times_{\mathbf{T}} W).$$

Then, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) & \longrightarrow & Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}), \beta'') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta') & \longrightarrow & Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Lemma 2.4.2. *The above commutative diagram is actually a fiber product.*

Proof. By commutativity of the diagram, there is a morphism of stacks

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}), \beta'') \times_{Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W/\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)} \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta').$$

To show that this morphism is actually an isomorphism, let's define an 'inverse' morphism

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}), \beta'') \times_{Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W/\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)} \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta') \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

Any object in $(Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}), \beta'') \times_{Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W/\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)} \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta'))(S)$ can be written as (X_1, X_2, α),

$$X_1 = ((\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ \mathcal{P},\ u) \in Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}), \beta'')(S),$$

$$X_2 = ((\mathcal{C}, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k),\ f : \mathcal{C} \to Y) \in \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')(S)$$

and α is an isomorphism between their images in $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)(S)$. Then, by using α, we may regard $(\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ as a contraction of rational tails of $(\mathcal{C}, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k)$. Define a morphism

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}), \beta'') \times_{Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W/\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)} \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta') \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$$

by

$$(X_1, X_2, \alpha) \mapsto (\phi : (\mathcal{C}, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k) \to (\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f,\ \mathcal{P}/\mathbf{T},\ u' : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{P}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} V)$$

where u' is a composition of $u : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{P}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} \tilde{V}$ and $\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} \tilde{V} \to \mathcal{P}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} V$. It is easy to check that this is our desired morphism. □

To show that $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ are morphisms of stacks and that $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are Artin stacks, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathcal{F}\times_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{G}$ is an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. For any schemes U, V and morphisms (between stacks) $U \to (\mathcal{F}\times_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{G})$, $V \to (\mathcal{F}\times_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{G})$,

$$U\times_{(\mathcal{F}\times_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{G})} V \cong (U\times_{\mathcal{F}} V)\times_{(U\times_{\mathcal{H}} V)} (U\times_{\mathcal{G}} V)$$

which is represented by an algebraic space.

Let F and G be the atlantes of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively, and X be the atlas of the algebraic space $F\times_{\mathcal{H}} G$. It is easy to see that X is an atlas of $\mathcal{F}\times_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{G}$. □

So, $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is an Artin stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 2.4.4. *$Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. This is obvious by the fact that every $\mathbb{C}$-object in $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ has a finite automorphism group and the fact that $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is an open substack of $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$. □

2.5. **Properness of $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$.** For the class

$$\gamma = (\gamma', \gamma_0) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\chi(\mathbf{T}), \mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z}),$$

and a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ which can be written as

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}' \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_0,\ \mathcal{M}' \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y),\ \mathcal{M}_0 \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V),$$

we define

$$\gamma(\mathcal{M}) := \gamma'(\mathcal{M}') + \gamma_0(\mathcal{M}_0).$$

Then it is well-defined, i.e. this quantity is independent of choice of $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be an ample line bundle on Y. Define an ample line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} := \pi^*(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \psi^*(\boxtimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_j-1}}(m))) \otimes i^*[E\times\mathbb{C}_\theta/\mathbf{G}] \tag{2.6}$$

on $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ where $i : E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow [E/\mathbf{G}]$. By [12, lemma 3.2.1], if

$$(\phi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : C \to Y,\ P,\ u)$$

is a genus g, k-pointed quasimap with degree β, then $\beta(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$; and $\beta(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ if and only if $\beta = 0$ if and only if both (C, f) and $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u})$ defined in (2.5) are constant. Therefore, for fixed (g, k, β), the number of irreducible components of underlying

curve C of stable quasimap to $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is bounded, so is the number of irreducible components of C_0.

For fixed $(g, k, \beta = (\beta', \beta_0))$ and fixed $\phi : C \to C_0$, the family of prestable maps of class β' from C to Y is bounded. Further, by [12, Theorem 3.2.4], the family of quasimaps of class β'' from C_0 to $\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$ is also bounded.

Combining all these, we can conclude that $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is bounded. In other words, it is of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.

At this moment, for later use, we state the following definition.

Definition 2.5.1. We say that the class β is θ-*effective* if it can be written as a sum of classes of θ-stable quasimaps. Denote the set of all θ-effective classes by

$$\mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\chi(\mathbf{T}), \mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that $\mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ is a semi-group.

Proposition 2.5.2. $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is proper over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Recall that $Q_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'')$ is a proper DM stack over $\mathbb{C}$. We will use the valuative criteria. Let R be a DVR (discrete valuation ring) over $\mathbb{C}$ with the quotient field K. Let $\Delta := \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $0 \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be its unique closed point. Then $\Delta \backslash \{0\} = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$. Denote it by Δ^0.

For separatedness, choose two objects

$$(((\mathcal{C}_0^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i),\ \mathcal{P}^i,\ u^i),\ ((\mathcal{C}^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i),\ f^i)),\ i = 1, 2,$$

in $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)(\Delta) \subset Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'') \times_{Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d)} \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta')(\Delta)$ which are isomorphic over Δ^0. Let $((\mathcal{C}'^i_0, p_1'^i, \cdots, p_k'^i),\ \mathcal{P}'^i,\ u'^i)$ be the image of $((\mathcal{C}_0^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i),\ \mathcal{P}^i,\ u^i)$ under

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'') \to Q_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'').$$

Since $Q_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'')$ is separated over $\mathbb{C}$, $((\mathcal{C}'^i_0, p_1'^i, \cdots, p_k'^i), \mathcal{P}'^i, u'^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are isomorphic over Δ. So, we may assume that

$$((\mathcal{C}'^1_0, p_1'^1, \cdots, p_k'^1),\ \mathcal{P}'^1,\ u'^1) = ((\mathcal{C}'^2_0, p_1'^2, \cdots, p_k'^2),\ \mathcal{P}'^2,\ u'^2).$$

Denote it by $((\mathcal{C}'_0, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k), \mathcal{P}', u')$. If we add additional markings $r_1, \cdots, r_l$ on $\mathcal{C}'_0$ such that

$$((\mathcal{C}^1, p_1^1, \cdots, p_k^1, r_1, \cdots, r_l),\ f^1) \ \text{ and } \ ((\mathcal{C}^2, p_1^2, \cdots, p_k^2, r_1, \cdots, r_l),\ f^2)$$

are stable by shrinking Δ if necessary where $(\mathcal{C}^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i, r_1, \cdots, r_l)$ is

$$(\mathcal{C}^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i) \times_{(\mathcal{C}'_0, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k)} (\mathcal{C}'_0, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k, r_1, \cdots, r_l),$$

they are isomorphic over Δ. So,

$$((\mathcal{C}^1, p_1^1, \cdots, p_k^1),\ f^1) \ \text{ and } \ ((\mathcal{C}^2, p_1^2, \cdots, p_k^2),\ f^2)$$

are isomorphic over Δ. Because of this, $(\mathcal{C}_0^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are isomorphic over Δ. Since $((\mathcal{C}_0^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i),\ \mathcal{P}^i,\ u^i)$ is pullback of $((\mathcal{C}'_0, p'_1, \cdots, p'_k), \mathcal{P}', u')$ to $(\mathcal{C}_0^i, p_1^i, \cdots, p_k^i)$, they are also isomorphic over Δ. Hence separatedness is satisfied.

For completeness, suppose that

$$(((\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ \mathcal{P},\ u),\ ((\mathcal{C}, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f)) \tag{2.7}$$

is an object in $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V}/\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}),\beta'')\times_{Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W/\!\!/_{\eta}\mathbf{T},d)}\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')(\Delta^0)$ which is stable. Let $((\mathcal{C}'_0,p'_1,\cdots,p'_k),\ \mathcal{P}',\ u')$ be the image of $((\mathcal{C}_0,p_1,\cdots,p_k),\ \mathcal{P},\ u)$ under the stabilization

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V}/\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}),\beta'')\to Q_{g,k}(\tilde{V}/\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}),\beta'').$$

Since $Q_{g,k}(\tilde{V}/\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}),\beta'')$ is proper over $\mathbb{C}$, we have an extension

$$((\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_0,\bar{p}'_1,\cdots,\bar{p}'_k),\ \bar{\mathcal{P}}',\ \bar{u}') \tag{2.8}$$

of $((\mathcal{C}'_0,p'_1,\cdots,p'_k),\mathcal{P}',u')$ over Δ. Hence, we have a family of prestable quasimaps

$$((\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_0,\bar{p}'_1,\cdots,\bar{p}'_k),\ \bar{\mathcal{Q}}'=\bar{\mathcal{P}}'/\mathbf{G},\ \bar{u}'_{\mathbf{T}}:\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_0\to\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'\times_{\mathbf{T}}W) \tag{2.9}$$

over Δ where $\bar{u}'_{\mathbf{T}}$ is a composition of $\bar{u}':\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_0\to\bar{\mathcal{P}}'\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}\tilde{V}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}'\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}\tilde{V}\to\bar{\mathcal{P}}'\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}W\cong\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'\times_{\mathbf{T}}W$.

If we add additional markings $\bar{v}_1,\cdots,\bar{v}_t:\Delta\to\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_0$ by shringking Δ if necessary so that

$$((\mathcal{C},p_1,\cdots,p_k,v_1,\cdots,v_t),f:\mathcal{C}\to Y)\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k+t}(Y,\beta')(\Delta^0)$$

becomes stable where $v_i=\bar{v}_i|_{\Delta^0}$, we have its extension over Δ

$$((\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}},\bar{\bar{p}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\bar{p}}_k,\bar{\bar{v}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\bar{v}}_t),\bar{\bar{f}}:\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}\to Y)\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k+t}(Y,\beta')(\Delta) \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\bar{\bar{\phi}}:(\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}},\bar{\bar{p}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\bar{p}}_k)\to(\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_0,\bar{\bar{p}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\bar{p}}_k)$ be contraction of rational tails. Then it is obvious that

$$(\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_0,\bar{\bar{p}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\bar{p}}_k)|_{\Delta^0}\cong(\mathcal{C}_0,p_1,\cdots,p_k).$$

Again, if we add markings $\bar{\bar{r}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\bar{r}}_l$ on $\bar{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_0$ by shrinking Δ if necessary so that

$$((\mathcal{C}_0,p_1,\cdots,p_k,r_1,\cdots,r_l),\ \mathcal{P},\ u)\in Q_{g,k+l}(\tilde{V}/\!\!/\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G},\beta'')(\Delta^0)$$

becomes stable where $r_i:=\bar{\bar{r}}_i|_{\Delta^0}$, we can find its extension

$$((\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0,\tilde{p}_1,\cdots,\tilde{p}_k,\tilde{r}_1,\cdots,\tilde{r}_l),\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}},\ \tilde{u})\in Q_{g,k+l}(\tilde{V}/\!\!/\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G},\beta'')(\Delta).$$

Also, add markings $\tilde{q}_1,\cdots,\tilde{q}_m$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ by shrinking Δ if necessary until when

$$((\mathcal{C},p_1,\cdots,p_k,q_1,\cdots,q_m),\ f)\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k+m}(Y,\beta')(\Delta^0) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$((\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0,\tilde{p}_1,\cdots,\tilde{p}_k,\tilde{q}_1,\cdots,\tilde{q}_m),\ \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}:=\tilde{\mathcal{P}}/\mathbf{G},\ \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{T}}:\mathcal{C}_0\to\mathcal{Q}\times_{\mathbf{T}}W)\in Q_{g,k+m}(W/\!\!/\mathbf{T},d)(\Delta)$$

become stable where $q_i:=\tilde{q}_i|_{\Delta^0}$ and $\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is a composition of $\tilde{u}:\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0\to\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}\tilde{V}\to\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}W\cong\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}\times_{\mathbf{T}}W$. Then, we can take the extension of (2.11), say

$$((\tilde{\mathcal{C}},\tilde{p}_1,\cdots,\tilde{p}_k,\tilde{q}_1,\cdots,\tilde{q}_m),\ \tilde{f})\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k+m}(Y,\beta')(\Delta).$$

We can get $(\hat{\mathcal{C}},\hat{p}_1,\cdots,\hat{p}_k)$ by contracting rational components in rational tails of $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}},\tilde{p}_1,\cdots,\tilde{p}_k)$ on which $\tilde{f}$ is constant. We can define $\hat{f}:\hat{\mathcal{C}}\to Y$ which commutes



So, we have

$$((\hat{\mathcal{C}},\hat{p}_1,\cdots,\hat{p}_k),\hat{f})\in\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')(\Delta) \tag{2.12}$$

which is an extension of $((\mathcal{C},p_1,\cdots,p_k),f)$.

Let $\hat{\phi} : (\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{p}_1, \cdots, \hat{p}_k) \to (\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0, \hat{p}_1, \cdots, \hat{p}_k)$ be contraction of rational tails. Then it is obvious that

$$(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0, \hat{p}_1, \cdots, \hat{p}_k)|_{\Delta^0} \cong (\mathcal{C}_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k).$$

And we can observe that there is a contraction morphism

$$c : (\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0, \hat{p}_1, \cdots, \hat{p}_k) \to (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0, \tilde{p}_1, \cdots, \tilde{p}_k)$$

by construction. So, we have

$$((\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0, \hat{p}_1, \cdots, \hat{p}_k,),\ \hat{\mathcal{P}} := c^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}},\ \hat{u} := c^*\tilde{u}) \in Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \beta'')(\Delta). \tag{2.13}$$

We can check the pair ((2.13),(2.12)) is in $Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)(\Delta)$ by construction.

Now, by using line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ (in (2.6)) on $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$, we can define stabilization morphism

$$Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

Then, the image of ((2.13),(2.12)) under stabilization morphism is the desired extension of (2.7). □

Remark 2.5.3. For the proof of properness, condition (5) in section 2.1 is crucial.

2.6. **Obstruction Theory.** Let $\mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{H}}$ be the moduli stack consisting of

$$((C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k), P)$$

where $(C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ is an object of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}$ and P is a principal $\mathbf{H}$-bundle on C_0. Then $\mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{H}} = \coprod_\beta \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}(\mathrm{B}\mathbf{H}, \beta)$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0}$ be the substack of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0)$ whose object is $((C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k), P, u \in \Gamma(C_0, P \times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} V))$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}([V/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}], \beta_0)$ such that $u^{-1}(P \times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} V^{un}(\mathbf{G}, \theta))$ is finite points in $C_0^{sm} \backslash \{p_1, \cdots, p_k\}$. For the notations, see (1.3) in section 1.1. Then we have a fiber diagram of forgetting morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'') & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}}. \end{array}$$

So, we can get a fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q^{pre}_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) & \longrightarrow & Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}), \beta'') & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y, \beta') & \longrightarrow & Q^{pre}_{g,k}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}, d) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}}. \end{array} \tag{2.14}$$

Let $\mu_1 : \mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0} \to \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}}$ be the right vertical morphism in (2.14). This can be factored as

$$\mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0} \xrightarrow{\mu_1^1} \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} \xrightarrow{\mu_1^2} \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}}.$$

Consider the universal curve, universal principal $\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathfrak{P}$ and universal section and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{P}\times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V & & \\ \uparrow u \downarrow \rho & & \\ \mathfrak{C}_0 & & \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \\ \mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0} & \xrightarrow{\mu_1^1} & \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}. \end{array}$$

By using the similar argument of the proof of [12, Theorem 4.5.2], we know that

$$E_1^\bullet := (R^\bullet(\pi_1)_*(u^*\mathbb{R}T_\rho))^\vee \in D^b(\mathfrak{S}_{g.k,\beta_0})$$

is a relative perfect obstruction theory for μ_1^1 where $\mathbb{R}T_\rho$ is the relative tangent complex of ρ. Define

$$E^\bullet_{\mu_1} := \mathrm{Cone}(E_1^\bullet[-1]\to \mathbb{L}_{\mu_1^1}[-1]\to (\mu_1^1)^*\mathbb{L}_{\mu_1^2})\in D^b(\mathfrak{S}_{g.k,\beta_0})$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{\mu_1^1}$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}_{\mu_1^2}$) is relative cotangent complexes for μ_1^1 (resp. μ_1^2). Note that if we use deformation-obstruction theory, then it is easy to check that $E^\bullet_{\mu_1}$ is relative perfect obstruction theory for μ_1. It means that μ_1 is a Deligne-Mumford type morphism.

Let $\mu_2 : \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')\to \mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}}$ be the bottom composition morphism in (2.14). In order to find a relative perfect obstruction theory of μ_2 which is a Deligne-Mumford type morphism, consider the composition

$$\mu' : \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')\xrightarrow{\mu_2}\mathfrak{Bun}^{g,k}_{\mathbf{T}}\xrightarrow{\mu_3}\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}).$$

This can be factored as

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')\xrightarrow{\mu_1'}\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}\xrightarrow{\mu_2'}\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$$

where μ_1' is the forgetting morphism. Consider the universal curve and morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xleftarrow{f} & \mathfrak{C} \\ & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ & & \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta') \xrightarrow{\mu_1'} \mathfrak{M}_{g,k}. \end{array}$$

Then it is known that

$$E_2^\bullet := (R^\bullet(\pi_2)_*(f^*T_Y))^\vee \in D^b(\mathfrak{M}'_{g.k}(Y,\beta'))$$

is a relative perfect obstruction theory for μ_1'. Define a complex

$$E'^\bullet := \mathrm{Cone}(E_2^\bullet[-1]\to \mathbb{L}_{\mu_1'}[-1]\to (\mu_1')^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}})\in D^b(\mathfrak{M}'_{g.k}(Y,\beta')).$$

Note that it doesn't become a perfect obstruction theory for $\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')$. But its cohomology gives infinitesimal automorphism-deformation-obstruction for $\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')$.

Since $\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$ is smooth, there is a morphism from the cotangent complex $(\mu_2)^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}}$ to $E^{'\bullet}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mu_2)^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}} & \longrightarrow & E^{'\bullet} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')} \end{array}$$

is commutative. So, if we define the complex

$$E_{\mu_2}^{\bullet} := \mathrm{Cone}((\mu_2)^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}} \to E^{'\bullet}) \in D^b(\mathfrak{M}'_{g.k}(Y,\beta')),$$

then it becomes a relative perfect obstruction theory for μ_2. We can check this by using deformation-obstruction theory.

Let $p_1 : Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta) \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,k,\beta_0}$, $p_2 : Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta) \to \mathfrak{M}'_{g,k}(Y,\beta')$ be forgetting morphisms. Define

$$E^{\bullet} := (p_1^*E_{\mu_1}^{\bullet} \oplus p_2^*E_{\mu_2}^{\bullet})|_{Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)} \in D^b(Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)).$$

Then, it becomes a relative perfect obstruction theory of $Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)$ over $\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$ (see [2, Propositions 7.2, 7.4]).

So far, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6.1. *The moduli stack $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it has a natural perfect obstruction theory.*

Remark 2.6.2. Note that

$$p_1^*E_{\mu_1}^{\bullet} \oplus p_2^*E_{\mu_2}^{\bullet} \in D^b(Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta))$$

is a relative perfect obstruction theory of $Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)$ over $\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$. But

$$\mathrm{Cone}((p_1^*E_{\mu_1}^{\bullet} \oplus p_2^*E_{\mu_2}^{\bullet})[-1] \to \mu^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}}) \in D^b(Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta))$$

where $\mu : Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta) \to \mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}$ is forgetting morphism is not a perfect obstruction theory for $Q_{g,k}^{pre}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)$. However,

$$\mathrm{Cone}(E^{\bullet}[-1] \to (\mu|_{Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)})^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}}) \in D^b(Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta))$$

becomes a perfect obstruction theory for $Q_{g,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)$. We call this as a "natural" perfect obstruction theory for $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)$.

We have a virtual fundamental class

$$[Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)]^{vir}$$

from the natural perfect obstruction theory. Degree of this class (virtual dimension of $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)$) is

$$\begin{aligned} &(h^0(E_1^{\bullet}) - h^1(E_1^{\bullet})) + \dim(\mu_1^2) + (h^0(E_2^{\bullet}) - h^1(E_2^{\bullet})) + \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}) - \dim(\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}) \\ &\qquad +\dim(\mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}^{g,k}) \\ &= (h^0(E_1^{\bullet}) - h^1(E_1^{\bullet})) + \dim(\mu_1^2) + (h^0(E_2^{\bullet}) - h^1(E_2^{\bullet})) + \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{g,k}) \\ &= (\beta_0(c_1([V/\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}])) + (1-g)\dim(V)) - (1-g)\dim(\mathbf{G}) \\ &\qquad +(\beta'(c_1(Y)) + (1-g)\dim(Y)) + 3(g-1) + k \\ &= \beta(c_1([E/\mathbf{G}])) + (1-g)(\dim(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}) - 3) + k. \end{aligned}$$

2.7. **Graph Spaces.** In this section, suppose that there is no constraint of the conditions on g and k, i.e. suppose only that $g, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with no relations. Fix $\beta = (\beta', \beta_0)$ as before.

Consider the following data:

$$(\phi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_k) \to (C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k),\ f : C \to Y,\ P,\ u,\ \alpha : C \to \mathbb{P}^1)$$

- $(C, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ and $(C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ are prestable k-pointed curves with genus g.
- α is a regular map with degree $1 \in H_2(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. This condition is equivalent to the fact that there is an irreducible rational component C_1 in C such that $\alpha|_{C_1}$ is an isomorphism and α on the other components are contractions.
- ϕ is a contraction of all rational tails of C. Note that the rational tail here is the usual one that does not contain C_1, i.e. a maximal one among connected trees of rational curves with no markings which doesn't contain C_1 such that the intersection of itself with completion of its complement in C is just one nodal point in C.
- f is a prestable map with degree β' such that f restricted on each rational tail is stable as before.
- P is a $\mathbf{G}$-principal bundle on C_0.
- $u \in \Gamma(C_0, P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} V)$.
- $\beta_0(L) = \deg(u^*(P_f \times_{(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})} L))$ for all $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V)$.

Here, P_f is defined as the same way in section 2.3. The above data is called a genus g, k-pointed *graph quasimap* with degree β. It is *prestable* with respect to θ if $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u}, \alpha_0)$ is a prestable graph quasimap with respect to $\tilde{\theta}$ in the sense of [11], [12] where $\alpha_0 : C_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is induced by α and $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u})$ is constructed in (2.5). It is *stable* with respect to θ if for each irreducible component $C' \subset C_0$ which is not C_1, $f|_{C'}$ is non-constant or $(C_0, P_f, \tilde{u})$ is stable on C' with respect to $\tilde{\theta}$. The moduli stack of genus g, k-pointed stable graph quasimaps with degree β can be constructed similarly as in definitions 2.3.2, 2.3.3 and it will be denoted by

$$QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

Note that the isomorphism between (ϕ, f, P, u, α) and $(\phi', f', P', u', \alpha')$ is a pair

$$(\psi : C \to C', \xi : P \to \psi_0^* P')$$

such that it is an ismorphism between (ϕ, f, P, u) and (ϕ', f', P', u') in the sense of definition 2.3.3 and $\alpha' \circ \psi = \alpha$.

Then, also, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7.1. *The moduli stack $QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it has a natural perfect obstruction theory.*

On stable graph quasimap moduli spaces, we can give a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}^* \times QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$$

$$(t, (\phi, f, P, u, \alpha)) \longmapsto (\phi, f, P, u, \alpha_t)$$

where α_t is a composition of α with

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1,\ [x, y] \mapsto [tx, y]$$

where x and y are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

2.8. **Cohomological Field Theory.** In this section, we will define evaluation maps from stable quasimap moduli stacks or stable graph quasimap moduli stacks. Let $Q_{g,k}$ be $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ or $QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$.

First of all, we know that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [E/\mathbf{G}] & \longrightarrow & [V/(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{B}\mathbf{T} \end{array}$$

is the fiber product. For an S-object in $Q_{g,k}(S)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_0 & \xrightarrow{[u]} & [V/(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})] \\ \downarrow & & \\ S & & \end{array}$$

and can define $f_0 : \mathcal{C}_0' := \mathcal{C}_0 \backslash \{\text{contracted loci}\} \to Y$ which is induced by f. Because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_0' & \xrightarrow{[u]|_{\mathcal{C}_0'}} & [V/(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})] \\ {\scriptstyle f_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{B}\mathbf{T} \end{array}$$

is commutative, we get a morphism $\mathcal{C}_0' \to [E/\mathbf{G}]$. Note that for each geometric point in S, its restriction maps generically to $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ and note also that the nodes and markings are not base points. By using this map, we can define evaluation maps

$$\mathrm{ev}_a : Q_{g,k} \to E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \quad \text{for} \quad a = 1, \cdots, k$$

which are of course proper.

To define cohomological field theory, we introduce the Novikov ring

$$\Lambda := \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in \mathrm{Eff}(E,\mathbf{G},\theta)} a_\beta q^\beta | a_\beta \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Let

$$f_{g,k,\beta} : Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$$

for $2g-2+k>0$ where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$ is moduli stack of genus g, k-pointed stable curves and $f_{g,k,\beta}$ is the stabilization map of underlying curves. Note that stabilization of a curve is the same as the stabilization of its contraction of rational tails. Define Λ-linear maps

$$\Omega_{g,k} : H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)^{\otimes k} \to H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}, \Lambda)$$

by

$$\Omega_{g,k}(\otimes_{a=1}^k \sigma_a) := \sum_\beta q^\beta (f_{g,k,\beta})_* ([Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir} \cap \prod_{a=1}^k \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\sigma_a)).$$

Then it gives a cohomological field theory (CohFT) over Λ on $H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ with respect to Poincaré metric. Proof follows [9, proposition 2.3.2].

2.9. **Further Remarks.** I would like to mention that quasimap theory which we defined here is depending not only on $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ but also on its representation even if the target relies only on $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$.

The other thing is that condition (3) in section 2.1 which states $A(\tilde{V})^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} \cong \mathbb{C}$ is not necessary. Actually, without this condition, we can also develop the theory. More precisely, we can make moduli stacks as exactly same way and conclude that

Theorem 2.9.1. *Even though we don't have condition* (3) *in section 2.1, we can construct a moduli stack* $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ *which is a DM stack, proper over* $\mathrm{Spec}A(\tilde{V})^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$. *Moreover, it has a natural perfect obstruction theory.*

Here, the morphism

$$Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to \mathrm{Spec}A(\tilde{V})^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$$

is the composition $Q_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to Q^{pre}_{g,k}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}, \beta'') \to \mathrm{Spec}A(\tilde{V})^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$. We can also deduce the same conclusion for $QG_{g,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$.

3. $\mathbb{C}^*$-Localizations on Graph Spaces

3.1. **$\mathbb{C}^*$-Fixed Loci on Graph Spaces.** From now on, we will consider the only case $g = 0$. Suppose that $\beta = (\beta', \beta_0) \in \mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$. In this section, we will analyze the structure of $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed loci of $QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$.

First of all, all markings, nodes and degree β of $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed object in $QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ must be concentrated on 0 or ∞ at $C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Let

$$F_{k,\beta} \subset QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$$

be the substack consisting of $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed objects such that all markings, nodes and degree are concentrated on 0 at $C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

- $k = 0$ case.

The object in $QG_{0,0}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ has $C_0 = C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ since other components are the parts of rational tails. So, we have the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QG_{0,0}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) & \longrightarrow & QG_{0,0,\beta''}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} (\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)) & \longrightarrow & QG_{0,0,d}(W /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T}) \end{array}$$

(for the new notations, see [11]). In this case, the $\mathbf{T}$-principal bundle Q_f on $C_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ depends only on degree of f, so, all Q_f are isomorphic.

Let $F'_{\beta'}$ be the substack consisting of objects in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ such that all information is concentrated on 0 at distinguished $\mathbb{P}^1$. If $\beta' = 0$, $F'_0 \cong Y$ and if $\beta' \neq 0$, $F'_{\beta'} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0+\bullet}(Y, \beta')$ where $\bullet$ is a distinguished marking corresponding to $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$. In both cases, we have the evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : F'_{\beta'} \to Y$$

where $\mathrm{ev}_\bullet = \mathrm{id}_Y$ if $\beta' = 0$.

Let $F''_{\beta''}$ be the substack consisting of objects in $QG_{0,0,\beta''}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G})^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ such that all information is concentrated on 0 at distinguished $\mathbb{P}^1$. If $\beta'' = 0$, $F''_0 \cong \tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}$. Here, also we have evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : F''_{\beta''} \to \tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}.$$

Let F_d''' be the substack consisting of objects in $QG_{0,0,d}(W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T})^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ such that all information is concentrated on 0 at distinguished $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then, $F_d''' \cong W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}$ which is independent of d.

Then,

$$F_{0,\beta} \cong F'_{\beta'} \times_{F_d'''} F''_{\beta''} \cong F'_{\beta'} \times_{W/\!\!/\mathbf{T}} F''_{\beta''}. \tag{3.1}$$

The fiber product last one is defined by using evaluation maps.

- $k = 1$ case.

For $\beta = 0$,

$$F_{1,0} \cong E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \cong E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow QG_{0,1}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, 0) \cong E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

For $\beta \neq 0$,

$$F_{1,\beta} \cong Q_{0,1+\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$$

where $\bullet$ is a distinguished marking corresponding to $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

- $k \geq 2$ case.

$$F_{k,\beta} \cong Q_{0,k+\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$$

where $\bullet$ is a distinguished marking corresponding to $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

3.1.1. *Toric Fibrations.* Recall that

$$\pi : E := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L_j \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j} \to Y$$

is the sum of line bundles with fiberwise $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$-action as in the section 2.2.1 and $\theta \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$ satisfying the condition (4) in section 2.1. Assume further that $\oplus_{j=1}^r \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j} /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}$ is projective toric variety. Choose an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on Y and integers $l_1, \cdots, l_r$ satisfying proposition 2.2.1. Let $L'_j := L_j(l_j)$ and

$$F := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L'_j \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j} \to Y.$$

In this section, we will specify $F_{0,\beta}$ for toric fibration case. Recall also that $V \cong (\mathbb{C})^r$, $\mathbf{T} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ and $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$ acts on V the way that

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j + \eta_j}$$

where $\{\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r\}$ is the standard basis for $\chi(\mathbf{T})$.

We know that

$$QG_{0,0}(F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \cong QG_{0,0,\beta''}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}) \times_{QG_{0,0,d}(W/\!\!/\mathbf{T})} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)).$$

In [10], they calculate that

$$QG_{0,0,\beta''}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} ((\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j))^{\oplus n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\eta_j}) \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d'_j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j + \eta_j})) /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$$

where $d_j := \beta'(L'_j) = \beta''(\eta_j) \geq 0$, $d'_j := \beta_0(\rho_j) + d_j = \beta''(\rho_j) + d_j = \beta''(\rho_j + \eta_j)$ and $n_j := \dim H^0(Y, L'_j)$. Also,

$$QG_{0,0,d}(W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j))^{\oplus n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\eta_j}) /\!\!/_\eta \mathbf{T} \cong \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{(d_j+1)n_j - 1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$F''_{\beta''} \cong (W \times \bigoplus_{j\in\{l|d'_l\geq 0\}} \mathbb{C}_{\rho_j+\eta_j}) /\!\!/ \mathbb{T}\times\mathbf{G}$$

and $F'''_d \cong W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}$. By (3.1), $F_{0,\beta}$ is the fiber product of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (\bigoplus_{j\in\{l|d'_l\geq 0\}} L'_j) /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \\ & & \downarrow \\ F'_{\beta'} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_\bullet} & Y. \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Also, we can see that

$$QG_{0,0}(F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)\times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta',1))} F'_{\beta'} \cong (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d'_j))\otimes \mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(L'_j\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\rho_j})) /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G}.$$

In other words, $QG_{0,0}(F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta)\times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta',1))} F'_{\beta'}$ is the fiber product of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (\oplus_{j\in\{l|d'_l\geq 0\}} L'^{\oplus(d'_j+1)}_j) /\!\!/_\theta \mathbf{G} \\ & & \downarrow \\ F'_{\beta'} & \xrightarrow{ev_\bullet} & Y. \end{array}$$

Let's call it as $\tilde{F}_\beta$.

For later use, we state the followings:

Lemma 3.1.1. *There is $\beta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\chi(\mathbf{G}),\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V),\mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$\beta_1(\rho_j) = d'_j - \beta'(L_j)$$

for all j.

Proof. It is enough to prove that there is $\beta_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\chi(\mathbf{G}),\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\beta_2(\rho_j) = \beta'(L'_j) - \beta'(L_j) = \beta'(\mathcal{O}(l_j)) =: \beta'_j$$

for all j. By (2.2), $\sum_{j=1}^r l_j v_j = 0$. By lemma 2.2.2, the existence of β_2 is obvious. □

We can write $l_j = la_j$ where $l = \gcd(l_1,\cdots,l_r)$. Choose $l' > l$ and let $l'_j := l'a_j$ for each j. Let

$$F' := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L_j(l'_j)\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\rho_j} \to Y.$$

Corollary 3.1.2. *For each β, there is $\beta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\chi(\mathbf{G}),\mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$QG_{0,k}(F' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta) \cong QG_{0,k}(F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},\beta+\beta_{\mathbf{1}})$$

for all k. Moreover, this is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant isomorphism.

Note that β_1 depends only on β'. Hence, $\tilde{F}_\beta(F',\mathbf{G},\theta) \cong \tilde{F}_{\beta+\beta_1}(F,\mathbf{G},\theta)$ and $F_{0,\beta}(F',\mathbf{G},\theta) \cong F_{0,\beta+\beta_1}(F,\mathbf{G},\theta)$.

3.1.2. *Partial Flag Variety Fibrations.* Recall that

$$\pi : E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, F) \to Y$$

where $F = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} L_j \to Y$ and $0 = r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} = r$. It has fiberwise $\mathbf{G} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{C})$-action. We chose $\theta = \det^n \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$. Choose an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on Y and an integer l satisfying proposition 2.2.4. Let $L_j' := L_j(l)$ and

$$E' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, F(l)) \to Y.$$

Recall also that

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_i}, \mathbb{C}^{r_{i+1}})$$

and let $V_i := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_i}, \mathbb{C}^{r_{i+1}})$, $V' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i$.

For $(C, f, \alpha) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C})$, the fiber of

$$QG_{0,0}(E' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))$$

at (C, f, α) is consisting of

$$(P, u = (u', u_n) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, P \times_{\mathbf{G}} V' \oplus (P \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus r_n}, \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j)))/\mathbf{G}))$$

where P is a $\mathbf{G}$-principal bundle on $C_0 \cong C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with $\deg(P \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda) = \beta_0(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \chi(\mathbf{G})$ and $d_j = \beta'(L_j')$; (u', u_n) is stable. Consider the standard action of $GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{r_i}$. For each P, define

$$\mathcal{E}_P^i := P \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbb{C}^{r_i},$$

$i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then, $P \times_{\mathbf{G}} V' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} (\mathcal{E}_P^i)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_P^{i+1}$ and

$$P \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus r_n}, \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j)))/\mathbf{G} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (\mathcal{E}_P^n)^\vee(d_j).$$

So, in other words, the fiber is consisting of

$$\mathcal{E}^1 \to \mathcal{E}^2 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}^n \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j)$$

where the morphisms are inclusions and $\mathcal{E}^i$ has rank r_i, degree $\beta_0(\det_i)$ for each i where $\det_i \in \chi(GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{C})) \subset \chi(\mathbf{G})$.

More precisely, for a $\mathbb{C}$-scheme S, $QG_{0,0}(E' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)(S)$ is

$$\{(\tilde{f} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))(S),\ \mathcal{E}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^n \hookrightarrow [W \times \mathbb{C}^r/\mathbf{T}]|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times S})\}$$

where $[W \times \mathbb{C}^r/\mathbf{T}]|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times S}$ is the pullback of $[W \times \mathbb{C}^r/\mathbf{T}] \to [W/\mathbf{T}]$ under

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}, \tilde{f})} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)) \longrightarrow [W/\mathbf{T}];$$

$\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$-action on $\mathbb{C}^r$ is the diagonal action; $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))$ is the universal contracted curve; $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)) \to [W/\mathbf{T}]$ is the composition of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times QG_{0,0,d}(W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T})$ with the universal morphism

$\mathbb{P}^1 \times QG_{0,0,d}(W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}) \to [W/\mathbf{T}]$ and $\{\mathcal{E}^i\}$ is the sequence of rank r_i subbundles on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times S$ such that for each $p \in S$,

$$\deg(\mathcal{E}^i|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}}) = \beta_0(\det_i).$$

Let

$$\tilde{F}_\beta := QG_{0,0}(E' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))} F'_{\beta'}.$$

Then, for a $\mathbb{C}$-scheme S, $\tilde{F}_\beta(S)$ is

$$\{(\tilde{f} \in F'_{\beta'}(S),\ \mathcal{E}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^n \hookrightarrow [W \times \mathbb{C}^r/\mathbf{T}]|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times S})\}.$$

But here, we can write $[W \times \mathbb{C}^r/\mathbf{T}]|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times S}$ as

$$(\mathrm{id}, \tilde{f})^*(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j) \boxtimes \mathrm{ev}_\bullet^* L'_j)$$

where $(\mathrm{id}, \tilde{f}) : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times F'_{\beta'}$ and $\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : F'_{\beta'} \to Y$

Let

$$E'' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_i}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{i+1}}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_n}, F(l+l')) \to Y$$

and let $\gamma := (r_1, \cdots, r_n) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\chi(\mathbf{G}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. It means that $\gamma(\det^n) = (r_1, \cdots, r_n)$.

Lemma 3.1.3. *For each β,*

$$QG_{0,k}(E'' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \cong QG_{0,k}(E' /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta + l'_{\beta'}\gamma)$$

for all k where $l'_{\beta'} = \beta'(\mathcal{O}(l'))$. Moreover, this is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant isomorphism.

Hence, $\tilde{F}_\beta(E'', \mathbf{G}, \theta) \cong \tilde{F}_{\beta + l'_{\beta'}\gamma}(E', \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ and $F_{0,\beta}(E'', \mathbf{G}, \theta) \cong F_{0,\beta+l'_{\beta'}\gamma}(E', \mathbf{G}, \theta)$.

3.2. **Euler Classes of Virtual Normal Bundles.** In this section, we examine the Euler classes of the virtual normal bundles $N_{k,\beta}^{vir}$ of

$$F_{k,\beta} \hookrightarrow QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

- $k = 0$ case.

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{0,\beta}^{vir}) = \frac{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F''_{\beta''}/QG_{0,0,\beta''}(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})}) e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F'_{\beta'}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1))})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F'''_d/QG_{0,0,d}(W /\!\!/ \mathbf{T})})}.$$

- $k = 1$ case.

When $\beta = 0$, since $F_{1,0} \cong E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cong QG_{0,1}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, 0)$,

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{1,0}^{vir}) = e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(T_{\mathbb{P}^1}|_0) = z$$

where z is the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant parameter. When $\beta \neq 0$,

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{1,\beta}^{vir}) = z(z - \psi_\bullet)$$

where $\psi_\bullet \in H^2(F_{1,\beta} \cong Q_{0,1+\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta))$ is psi class associated to distinguished marking $\bullet$. So,

$$\frac{1}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{1,\beta}^{vir})} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{z} & \text{if } \beta = 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} & \text{if } \beta \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

- $k \geq 2$ case.

As in the above case,

$$\frac{1}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{k,\beta})} = \frac{1}{z(z-\psi_\bullet)}.$$

3.2.1. *Toric Fibrations.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_{0,\beta} & \xrightarrow{q} & \tilde{F}_\beta & \longrightarrow & QG_{0,0}(F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \\ & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ & & F'_{\beta'} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)). \end{array}$$

Then, we can express Euler class as

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{0,\beta}) = q^* p^* e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F'_{\beta'}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta',1))}) e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F_{0,\beta}/\tilde{F}_\beta}).$$

Since $N^{vir}_{F_{0,\beta}/\tilde{F}_\beta}$ is the moving part of

$$R^\bullet \pi_*(\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\beta_0(\rho_j) + \beta'(L'_j)) \boxtimes \mathrm{ev}_\bullet^* L'_j)|_{F_{0,\beta}}$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \tilde{F}_\beta \to \tilde{F}_\beta$ and $\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : \tilde{F}_\beta \to F'_{\beta'} \to Y$, by the similar computations in [10], we have

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F_{0,\beta}/\tilde{F}_\beta}) = e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\iota^* N_{\mathrm{ev}_\bullet(F_{0,\beta})/(F/\!\!/\mathbf{G})})(\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)^* \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j}(D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0}(D_j + sz)}$$

where

$$\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : F_{0,\beta} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{ev}_\bullet(F_{0,\beta}) := (\oplus_{j\in\{l|d'_l\geq 0\}} L'_j) /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \subset F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G},$$

$d'_j = \beta_0(\rho_j) + \beta'(L'_j)$ and $D_j := \{L'_j = 0\} \in H^2(F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$. Moreover,

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F'_0/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta',1))}) = 1 \text{ if } \beta' = 0,$$

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F'_{\beta'}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta',1))}) = z(z-\psi_\bullet) \text{ if } \beta' \neq 0.$$

In conclusion, by above and (3.2),

$$(\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \frac{1}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{0,\beta})} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0}(D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j}(D_j + sz)} & \text{if } \beta' = 0 \\ \displaystyle \pi^*(\mathrm{ev}'_\bullet)_*(\frac{1}{z(z-\psi_\bullet)}) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0}(D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j}(D_j + sz)} & \text{if } \beta' \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{ev}'_\bullet : F'_{\beta'} \to Y$ is an evaluation map and $\pi : F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \to Y$. Note that D_j is equal to $\{L_j = 0\} \in H^2(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ under the canonical isomorphism $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \cong F /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

3.2.2. *Partial Flag Variety Fibrations.* Still we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_{0,\beta} & \xrightarrow{q} & \tilde{F}_\beta & \longrightarrow & QG_{0,0}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \\ & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ & & F'_{\beta'} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta', 1)). \end{array}$$

and

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{0,\beta}) = q^*p^*e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F'_{\beta'}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(Y\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta',1))})e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F_{0,\beta}/\tilde{F}_\beta}).$$

Here, as before, $N^{vir}_{F_{0,\beta}/\tilde{F}_\beta}$ is the moving part of

$$R^\bullet\pi_*([\tilde{u}]^*\mathbb{R}T_{[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}]/[W/\mathbf{T}]})|_{F_{0,\beta}}$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \tilde{F}_\beta \to \tilde{F}_\beta$, $[\tilde{u}] : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \tilde{F}_\beta \to [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$ and $\mathbb{R}T_{[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}]/[W/\mathbf{T}]}$ is the relative tangent complex of $[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$ over $[W/\mathbf{T}]$.

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_i := [\tilde{V} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_i}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}],$$

$i = 1, \cdots, n, n+1$, be vector bundle on $[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}]$. Here, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $\mathbf{T}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^{r_i}$ is trivial action and $\mathbf{G}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^{r_i}$ is

$$\mathbb{C}^{r_i} \times \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}^{r_i}$$

$$(\mathbf{x}, (A_i)_{i=1}^n) \mapsto A_i^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}.$$

For $i = n + 1$, $\mathbf{G}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^r$ is trivial action and $\mathbf{T}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^r$ is diagonal action. Let $S_i := \iota^*\mathcal{S}_i$ where

$$\iota : E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \to [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}].$$

Also, let $H_{i,l}$, $l = 1, \cdots, r_i$ be Chern roots of $S_i^\vee$. Note that these are independent of the choice of twistings. Especially,

$$H_{n+1,j} = -\pi^*(c_1(L'_j)) = -\pi^*(c_1(L_j))$$

for all j. Let $d'_i := \beta''(\det\mathcal{S}_i^\vee)$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $d^j_{n+1} := -\beta'(L'_j)$, $j = 1, \cdots, r$.

Then by using the argument in [5] and calculations above, we have

$$(\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_*\frac{1}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{F_{0,\beta}/\tilde{F}_\beta})} = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sum_l d^l_i = d'_i} \Big(\prod_{1\le l\ne l'\le r_i} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d^l_i - d^{l'}_i}(H_{i,l} - H_{i,l'} + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0}(H_{i,l} - H_{i,l'} + sz)} \prod_{1\le l\le r_i,\ 1\le l'\le r_{i+1}} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0}(H_{i,l} - H_{i+1,l'} + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d^l_i - d^{l'}_{i+1}}(H_{i,l} - H_{i+1,l'} + sz)} \Big).$$

3.3. **Other Fixed Loci.** For $k = k_1 + k_2$, $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$, let

$$F^{k_2,\beta_2}_{k_1,\beta_1} \subset QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$$

be the substack consisting of elements such that k_1-markings and degree β_1 are supported over $0 \in C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and other contributions are supported over $\infty \in C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, we have a decomposition

$$QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \coprod F^{k_2,\beta_2}_{k_1,\beta_1}.$$

Actually, it is easy to see that

$$F^{k_2,\beta_2}_{k_1,\beta_1} \cong F_{k_1,\beta_1} \times_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} F_{k_2,\beta_2}$$

where the fiber product is over the evaluation maps. Note that the evaluation maps are defined as constant image of distinguished $\mathbb{P}^1$ in C.

Let $N_{k_1,\beta_1}^{k_2,\beta_2}$ be the virtual normal bundle of

$$F_{k_1,\beta_1}^{k_2,\beta_2} \subset QG_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

Then, we can easily verify that

$$N_{k_1,\beta_1}^{k_2,\beta_2} \cong p_1^* N_{k_1,\beta_1}^{vir} \oplus p_2^* N_{k_2,\beta_2}^{'vir}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{k_1,\beta_1} \times_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} F_{k_2,\beta_2} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & F_{k_1,\beta_1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2} & & \\ F_{k_2,\beta_2} & & \end{array}$$

and $N_{k_2,\beta_2}^{'vir}$ is the complex N_{k_2,β_2}^{vir} with opposite eigen-characters. Therefore,

$$e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{k_1,\beta_1}^{k_2,\beta_2})(z) = p_1^* e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{k_1,\beta_1}^{vir})(z) p_2^* e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{k_2,\beta_2}^{vir})(-z).$$

4. Generating Functions

4.1. I and J-Functions.

Definition 4.1.1. The (big) I-function of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$

$$I : H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[z, \frac{1}{z}]]$$

is defined by

$$I(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 0, \beta} q^\beta (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{\prod_{a=1}^k \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{k!} \cap \frac{[F_{k,\beta}]^{vir}}{e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{k,\beta}^{vir})} \right).$$

For the toric fibrations, using calculations in section 3.2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{t}) = & \sum_{\beta \in \{\beta | \beta' = 0\}} q^\beta \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^0 (D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j} (D_j + sz)} \\ & + \sum_{\beta \in \{\beta | \beta' \neq 0\}} q^\beta \pi^* (\mathrm{ev}'_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{[F'_{\beta'}]^{vir}}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \right) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^0 (D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j} (D_j + sz)} \\ & + \tfrac{\mathbf{t}}{z} + \sum_{\beta \neq 0} q^\beta (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\mathbf{t}) [Q_{0,1+\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{\beta, k \geq 2} \frac{q^\beta}{k!} (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{\prod_a \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t}) [Q_{0,k+\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.1.2. The (big) J-function of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$

$$J : H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[\frac{1}{z}]]$$

is defined by

$$J(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 0, \beta} q^\beta (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{\prod_{a=1}^k \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{k!} \cap \frac{[F_{k,\beta}]^{vir}}{e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{k,\beta}^{vir})} \right)$$

where $F_{k,\beta} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta, 1))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ here is the substack such that all information is concentrated on $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $N_{k,\beta}^{vir}$ is the virtual normal bundle of

$$F_{k,\beta} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^1, (\beta, 1)).$$

Note that in the above definition, β should be chosen as a class in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(E), \mathbb{Z})$. However, we have a morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(E), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\chi(\mathbf{T}), \mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z}). \tag{4.1}$$

So, we can regard β as a class in $\mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$. Assume conversely that every class in $\mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ comes from $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(E), \mathbb{Z})$. This is always true if V is a vector space. Actually in this case, (4.1) is an isomorphism.

From the Gromov-Witten theory, we know that

$$J(\mathbf{t}) = 1 + \tfrac{\mathbf{t}}{z} + \sum_{(k,\beta)\neq(0,0),(1,0)} \frac{q^\beta}{k!} (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{\prod_a \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t}) [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k+\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \right).$$

Definition 4.1.3. The small J-function of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is defined by

$$J^{small} := J|_{H^0(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\mathbb{Q})}.$$

By using string and divisor equations in Gromov-Witten theory, we have

$$J^{small}(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \left(1 + \sum_{\beta\neq 0} q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\bullet}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \right) \right).$$

Similarly, we define small I-function of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

Definition 4.1.4. The small I-function of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$

$$I^{small} : H^0(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[z, \frac{1}{z}]]$$

is defined by

$$I^{small} := e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \left(1 + \sum_{\beta\neq 0} q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{[F_{0,\beta}]^{vir}}{e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{0,\beta}^{vir})} \right) \right).$$

Here, $\int_\beta \mathbf{t}$ is well-defined because we assumed $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(E), \mathbb{Z})$.

Then, we saw that for the toric fibrations

$$\begin{aligned} I^{small}(\mathbf{t}) &= e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_{\beta\in\{\beta|\beta'=0\}} q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^0 (D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j} (D_j + sz)} \\ &\quad + e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_{\beta\in\{\beta|\beta'\neq 0\}} q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} \pi^* (\mathrm{ev}'_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{[F'_{\beta'}]^{vir}}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \right) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^0 (D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j} (D_j + sz)} \\ &= e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_\beta q^\beta e^{\int_\beta \mathbf{t}} \pi^*(J_{\beta'}) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^0 (D_j + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d'_j} (D_j + sz)} \end{aligned}$$

where $J_{\beta'}$ is defined as the coefficients of small J-function of Y,

$$J_Y^{small} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_{\beta'} q^{\beta'} e^{\int_{\beta'} \mathbf{t}} J_{\beta'}$$

and $\pi : E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \to Y$. By those calculations and corollary 3.1.2, we can see that I and I^{small} for the toric fibrations are independent of the choice of representations.

For the partial flag variety fibrations,

$$I^{small}(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \sum_{\beta} q^{\beta} e^{\int_{\beta} \mathbf{t}} \pi^*(J_{\beta'}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\sum_l d_i^l = d_i'} \Big(\prod_{1 \le l \ne l' \le r_i} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d_i^l - d_i^{l'}} (H_{i,l} - H_{i,l'} + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0} (H_{i,l} - H_{i,l'} + sz)} \prod_{1 \le l \le r_i,\ 1 \le l' \le r_{i+1}} \frac{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{0} (H_{i,l} - H_{i+1,l'} + sz)}{\prod_{s=-\infty}^{d_i^l - d_{i+1}^{l'}} (H_{i,l} - H_{i+1,l'} + sz)} \Big).$$

By lemma 3.1.3, it depends only on $(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$.

We can easily verify the following asymptotic properties:

(1) I, J, I^{small} and J^{small} have the form $e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} + O(q)$.
(2) $J = 1 + \frac{\mathbf{t}}{z} + O(\frac{1}{z^2})$.

4.2. **Quasimap Invariants and S-Operators.** For cohomology classes $\sigma_a \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ and nonnegative integers l_a,

$$\langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k} \rangle_{k,\beta}^{Q} := \int_{[Q_{0,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}} \prod_a \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\sigma_a) \psi_a^{l_a},$$

$$\langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k} \rangle_{k,\beta} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}} \prod_a \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\sigma_a) \psi_a^{l_a}.$$

For cohomology classes $\sigma_a \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}) \otimes H^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong H^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$,

$$\langle \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_k \rangle_{k,\beta}^{QG} := \int_{[QG_{0,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}} \prod_a \tilde{\mathrm{ev}}_a^*(\sigma_a)$$

where $\tilde{\mathrm{ev}}_a$, $a = 1, \cdots, k$, take values in $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Choose a homogeneous basis $\{\gamma_i\}$ for $H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ and its dual basis $\{\gamma^i\}$ with respect to Poincaré pairing. For $\mathbf{t} = \sum_i t_i \gamma_i \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$,

$$\langle\langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k} \rangle\rangle_k^{Q} := \sum_{m \ge 0, \beta} \frac{q^{\beta}}{m!} \langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k}, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{k+m,\beta}^{Q},$$

$$\langle\langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k} \rangle\rangle_k := \sum_{m \ge 0, \beta} \frac{q^{\beta}}{m!} \langle \sigma_1 \psi_1^{l_1}, \cdots, \sigma_k \psi_k^{l_k}, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{k+m,\beta},$$

$$\langle\langle \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_k \rangle\rangle_k^{QG} := \sum_{m \ge 0, \beta} \frac{q^{\beta}}{m!} \langle \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_k, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{k+m,\beta}^{QG}.$$

Then, we can write

$$I = \sum_i \gamma_i \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \rangle\rangle_\bullet^{Q} \text{ and } J = \sum_i \gamma_i \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \rangle\rangle_\bullet$$

where

$$\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \rangle_{\bullet,\beta}^{Q} := \int_{[F_{0,\beta}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^* \gamma^i}{e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{0,\beta}^{vir})},\ \langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)}, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{\bullet+1,0}^{Q} := \frac{1}{z} \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \mathbf{t}$$

and

$$\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)} \rangle_{\bullet,0} := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i,\ \langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z(z - \psi_\bullet)}, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{\bullet+1,0} := \frac{1}{z} \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \mathbf{t}.$$

In this chapter after this point, we will intoroduce S-operators and P-series to make factorizations of I and J, so called Birkhoff factorizations. After then, we will relate I and J by using these factorizations. For this, we will introduce

τ-transformations either. All concepts and properties of this ideas are already introduced in [11, chapter 5,6]. We just follow their ideas by slight modifications.

Definition 4.2.1. $S^Q(z) : H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z, z^{-1}]] \to H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is defined by

$$S^Q(z)(\gamma) = \sum_i \gamma_i \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z - \psi_1}, \gamma \rangle\rangle_2^Q$$

where

$$\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z - \psi_1}, \gamma \rangle_{2,0}^Q := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \gamma.$$

Similarly, $S(z) : H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z, z^{-1}]] \to H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is defined by

$$S(z)(\gamma) = \sum_i \gamma_i \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z - \psi_1}, \gamma \rangle\rangle_2$$

where

$$\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z - \psi_1}, \gamma \rangle_{2,0} := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \gamma.$$

Definition 4.2.2.

$$(S^Q)^*(z)(\gamma) := \sum_i \gamma^i \langle\langle \gamma_i, \frac{\gamma}{z - \psi_2} \rangle\rangle_2^Q \text{ and } S^*(z)(\gamma) := \sum_i \gamma^i \langle\langle \gamma_i, \frac{\gamma}{z - \psi_2} \rangle\rangle_2$$

where

$$\langle \gamma_i, \frac{\gamma}{z - \psi_2} \rangle_{2,0}^Q := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma_i \cup \gamma \text{ and } \langle \gamma_i, \frac{\gamma}{z - \psi_2} \rangle_{2,0} := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma_i \cup \gamma.$$

It is well-known fact that

$$S^*(-z) \circ S(z) = Id.$$

Proposition 4.2.3.

$$(S^Q)^*(-z) \circ S^Q(z) = Id.$$

Proof. From the Gromov-Witten theory, it is easy to see that S^Q has an asymptotic property:

$$S^Q(z)(\gamma) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} \gamma + O(q).$$

The remaining part of the proof is almost same as the proof of proposition 5.3.1 in [11].

Let $p_0, p_\infty \in H^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ be equivariant cohomology classes such that

$$p_0|_0 = z, p_0|_\infty = 0 \text{ and } p_\infty|_0 = 0, p_\infty|_\infty = -z.$$

Let's calculate

$$\langle\langle \gamma p_0, \delta p_\infty \rangle\rangle_2^{QG} = \sum_{m \geq 0, \beta} \frac{q^\beta}{m!} \langle \gamma p_0, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t}, \delta p_\infty \rangle_{2+m,\beta}^{QG} \in \Lambda[[z]]$$

for any $\gamma, \delta \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ by using the virtual localization theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[QG_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)]^{vir}} \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0) \mathrm{ev}_{2+m}^*(\delta p_\infty) \prod_{a=2}^{1+m} \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t}) \\ = &\sum_{m_1+m_2=2+m, \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \int_{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0) \mathrm{ev}_{2+m}^*(\delta p_\infty) \prod_{a=2}^{1+m} \mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to p_0 and p_∞, the only nontrivial contributions occur when the first marking lies over $0 \in C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and the last marking lies over $\infty \in C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_1+m_2=2+m,\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \int_{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_{2+m}^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=2}^{1+m}\mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1})} \\
=&\sum_{m_1+m_2=2+m,\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \int_{[F_{m_1,\beta_1}\times_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}}F_{m_2,\beta_2}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_{2+m}^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=2}^{1+m}\mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{m_1,\beta_1})e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N'^{vir}_{m_2,\beta_2})} \\
=&\sum_{m_1+m_2=2+m,\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \binom{m}{m_1-1} \int_{[F_{m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma z)\mathrm{ev}_{\bullet_1}^*(\gamma^i)\prod_{a=2}^{m_1}\mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{m_1,\beta_1})} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \int_{[F_{m_2,\beta_2}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_{2+m}^*(\delta(-z))\mathrm{ev}_{\bullet_2}^*(\gamma_i)\prod_{a=2}^{m_2}\mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N'^{vir}_{m_2,\beta_2})}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{m}{m_1-1}$ represents the number of choices of (m_1-1)-markings which lie over $0 \in C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ among remaining m-markings. Thus,

$$\langle\langle \gamma p_0, \delta p_\infty\rangle\rangle_2^{QG} = \sum_i \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z-\psi_{\bullet_1}}, \gamma\rangle\rangle_2^Q \langle\langle \delta, \frac{\gamma_i}{-z-\psi_{\bullet_2}}\rangle\rangle_2^Q.$$

By the way, the right-hand side has the form

$$\int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma\cup\delta + O(\frac{1}{z}).$$

Since the left-hand side does not have a pole at $z=0$, both sides are equal to $\int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}}\gamma\cup\delta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(S^Q)^*(-z)\circ S^Q(z)(\gamma) &= \sum_j \gamma^j \sum_i \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^i}{z-\psi_1}, \gamma\rangle\rangle_2^Q \langle\langle \gamma_j, \frac{\gamma_i}{-z-\psi_2}\rangle\rangle_2^Q \\
&= \sum_j \gamma^j \Big(\int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma\cup\gamma_j\Big) = \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. P-Series.

Definition 4.3.1. $P^Q(\mathbf{t},z) := \sum_i \gamma^i \langle\langle \gamma_i p_\infty\rangle\rangle_1^{QG} \in H^*(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\Lambda)[[z]]$. Similarly,

$$P^\infty(\mathbf{t},z) := \sum_i \gamma^i \sum_{m\geq 0,\beta} \frac{q^\beta}{m!} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}\times\mathbb{P}^1,(\beta,1))]^{vir}} \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma_i p_\infty)\prod_{a=2}^{1+m}\mathrm{ev}_a^*(\mathbf{t}).$$

Then, by using the virtual localization theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^Q &= \sum_i \gamma^i \sum_j \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^j}{z(z-\psi_1)}\rangle\rangle_1^Q \langle\langle (-z)\gamma_i, \frac{\gamma_j}{-z(-z-\psi_2)}\rangle\rangle_2^Q \\
&= \sum_i \gamma^i \langle\langle (-z)\gamma_i, \frac{\sum_j \gamma_j \langle\langle \frac{\gamma^j}{z(z-\psi_1)}\rangle\rangle_1^Q}{-z(-z-\psi_2)}\rangle\rangle_2^Q \\
&= \sum_i \gamma^i \langle\langle (-z)\gamma_i, \frac{I}{-z(-z-\psi_2)}\rangle\rangle_2^Q \\
&= (S^Q)^*(-z)(I).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$P^\infty = S^*(-z)(J).$$

From the above calculation, we can see that

$$P^Q = (S^Q)^*(-z)(I) = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} I + O(q) = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}}(e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} + O(q)) + O(q) = 1 + O(q).$$

So, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3.2.
$I = S^Q(z)(P^Q) = \sum_i S^Q(z)(\gamma^i)\langle \gamma_i, P^Q\rangle$ *and* $J = S(z)(P^\infty) = \sum_i S(z)(\gamma^i)\langle \gamma_i, P^\infty\rangle$

where $\langle -,-\rangle$ *is the Poincaré pairing* $\int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} - \cup -$.

On the other hand, since $S(z)(\gamma) = \gamma + O(\frac{1}{z})$,

$$J = P^\infty + O(\frac{1}{z}).$$

Since $J = 1 + O(\frac{1}{z})$ and P^∞ dosen't have a pole at $z = 0$, $P^\infty = 1$. So, $J = S(z)(1)$.

4.4. **"String" Transformations and Main Conjectures.** Let

$$\gamma \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$$

be an invertible element, i.e. it is of the form $\gamma = 1 + O(q)$. Consider the operators

$$\tau_\gamma^Q(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_i \gamma_i \langle\langle \gamma^i, \gamma\rangle\rangle_2^Q - \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_i \gamma_i \langle\langle \gamma^i, \gamma\rangle\rangle_2 - \gamma$$

on $H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ where

$$\langle \gamma^i, \gamma\rangle_{2,0}^Q := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \gamma^i, \gamma\rangle_{2,0} := \int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} \gamma^i \cup \gamma.$$

Since both $\tau_\gamma^Q(\mathbf{t})$ and $\tau_\gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t})$ are of the form

$$\mathbf{t} + O(q),$$

they are invertible operators because their determinants in Λ are invertible. It is easy to check that

$$S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(\gamma) = \gamma + \tau_\gamma^Q(\mathbf{t})\frac{1}{z} + O(\frac{1}{z^2}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma) = \gamma + \tau_\gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t})\frac{1}{z} + O(\frac{1}{z^2}).$$

Let

$$\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t}) := (\tau_\gamma^Q)^{-1} \circ \tau_\gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}).$$

Then

$$S^Q_{\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t})}(z)(\gamma) = S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma) \pmod{\frac{1}{z^2}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Conjecture 4.4.1. *For* $\gamma \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ *of the form* $1 + O(q)$,

$$S^Q_{\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t})}(z)(\gamma) = S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma).$$

If the above conjecture is true, then we have

$$J(\tau_1^{-1}(\mathbf{t}), z) = S_{\tau_1^{-1}(\mathbf{t})}(z)(1) = S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(1).$$

Since $\tau_1^\infty(\mathbf{t})$ is the identity operator, $\tau_1^{-1}(\mathbf{t}) = \tau_1^Q(\mathbf{t})$. So,

$$J(\tau_1^Q(\mathbf{t}), z) = S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(1).$$

Remark 4.4.2. Suppose the above conjecture is true. Also suppose that $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ has nef anti-canonical sheaf. Then, by the same calculations as in [11, section 5.5],

$$J(\tau_1^Q(\mathbf{t}), z) = S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(1) = \frac{I(\mathbf{t}, z, q)}{I_0(q)} \text{ and } \tau_1^Q(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\mathbf{t} + I_1(q)}{I_0(q)}$$

where $I_0(q) := \langle [\mathrm{pt}], P^Q|_{\mathbf{t}=0} \rangle = 1 + O(q) \in \Lambda$ and

$$I_1(q) := I_0(q) \sum_i \gamma_i (\sum_{\beta \neq 0} q^\beta \langle \gamma^i, 1 \rangle_{2,\beta}^Q) \in qH^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda).$$

Hence, I is on the overruled Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}ag_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}}$ encoding the $g = 0$ Gromov-Witten theory of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

To generalize the result in the above remark, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4.3. *There is a unique series $P(\mathbf{t}, z) \in H^*(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z]]$ and a unique transformation $\tau(\mathbf{t})$ on $H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ satisfying*

- $P(\mathbf{t}, z) = 1 + O(q)$.
- $\tau(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t} + O(q)$.
- $S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(P^Q(\mathbf{t}, z)) = S_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z)) \pmod{\frac{1}{z^2}}$.

Proof. There is a canonical partial order on $\mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$. We will use the induction on $\mathrm{Eff}(E, \mathbf{G}, \theta)$ about this order to determine $P(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $\tau(\mathbf{t})$.

First, we set $P(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $\tau(\mathbf{t})$ by

$$P(\mathbf{t}, z) = \sum_\beta P_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z) q^\beta \text{ and } \tau(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_\beta \tau_\beta(\mathbf{t}) q^\beta,$$

and we will determine each coefficients $P_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $\tau_\beta(\mathbf{t})$ by induction.

For $\beta = 0$, we put $P_0(\mathbf{t}, z) := 1$, $\tau_0(\mathbf{t}) := \mathbf{t}$ so that they satisfy first two conditions in the statement of lemma.

Now, suppose that $P_{\beta'}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $\tau_{\beta'}(\mathbf{t})$ are determined uniquely for all $\beta' < \beta \neq 0$ such that the equation

$$S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(P^Q(\mathbf{t}, z)) = S_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z)) \pmod{\frac{1}{z^2}}$$

is satisfied in all coefficients of $q^{\beta'}$ with $\beta' < \beta$. Then, by comparing the coefficients of q^β in both sides of the above equation, we can determine $P_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $\tau_\beta(\mathbf{t})$.

More precisely, the coefficient of q^β in left hand side is known. For the right hand side, if we write

$$S_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z)) = e^{\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t})}{z}} P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z) + A,$$

then we know the coefficient of q^β in A by induction and asymptotic property of S-operator. And, the coefficient of q^β in $e^{\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t})}{z}} P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z)$ is

$$e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}} (P_\beta(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z) + \frac{1}{z} \tau_\beta(\mathbf{t})) + (\text{known terms by induction}).$$

So, that means $P_\beta(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z) + \frac{1}{z}\tau_\beta(\mathbf{t})$ is known. Hence, we can take $P_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z) \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})[[z]]$ and $\frac{1}{z}\tau_\beta(\mathbf{t}) \in \frac{1}{z} H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$ uniquely so that

$$S_{\mathbf{t}}^Q(z)(P^Q(\mathbf{t}, z)) = S_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z)) \pmod{\frac{1}{z^2}}$$

is satisfied in all coefficients of $q^{\beta'}$ with $\beta' \leq \beta$.

□

If we write $P(\mathbf{t}, z)$ as $P(\mathbf{t}, z) = \sum_i C_i \gamma_i$ where $C_i \in \Lambda[[t_j]][[z]]$, then

$$S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(P(\mathbf{t}, z)) = \sum_i C_i z \partial_{t_i} J(\mathbf{t}, z)$$

since $S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma_i) = z\partial_{t_i} J(\mathbf{t}, z)$, and hence it is on the Lagrangian cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

Conjecture 4.4.4.

$$I(\mathbf{t}, z) = S^Q_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(P^Q(\mathbf{t}, z)) = S_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z)).$$

In particular, I is on the Lagrangian cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

5. Proof of Conjectures with Torus Action

When $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ has a torus action with isolated fixed points and isolated one-dimensional orbits, we will prove the conjectures 4.4.1 and 4.4.4. All ideas and arguments follow [11, chapter 7].

5.1. **Torus Action on $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.** Let $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^N$. Suppose that there is an $\mathbf{S}$-action on V which commutes with $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$-action. Then, there is induced fiberwise $\mathbf{S}$-action on E which commutes with $\mathbf{G}$-action on it. There are induced $\mathbf{S}$-actions on $[E/\mathbf{G}]$ and $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$. Also, there are induced $\mathbf{S}$-actions on $[V/\mathbf{G}]$ and $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$. Assume that $\mathbf{S}$-fixed locus $(V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$ is finite points.

Theorem 5.1.1. *In the above situation, the conjecture 4.4.1 holds.*

Theorem 5.1.2. *In the above situation, if we assume further that 1-dimensional orbits of $\mathbf{S}$-action on $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ are isolated, then the conjecture 4.4.4 holds.*

For toric fibrations, we choose $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ and define the $\mathbf{S}$-action on $V := (\mathbb{C})^r$ as a diagonal action. Then, it is easy to see that the fixed points and 1-dimensional orbits of $\mathbf{S}$-action on $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ are isolated. For partial flag variety fibrations, $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ and define the $\mathbf{S}$-action on $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{r_i}, \mathbb{C}^{r_{i+1}})$ as a diagonal action only on the last $\mathbb{C}^{r_{n+1}} = \mathbb{C}^r$. In this case, also the fixed points and 1-dimensional orbits of $\mathbf{S}$-action on $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ are isolated.

Corollary 5.1.3. *For toric fibrations and partial flag variety fibrations, both conjectures 4.4.1 and 4.4.4 are true.*

The moduli stacks of stable (graph) quasimaps or stable (graph) maps inherit the $\mathbf{S}$-action from the one on the target space.

Let

$$H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{Q}[\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_N].$$

We may regard the insertions $\mathbf{t}, \gamma$ as elements in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$ and the objects I, J, S, P and τ take values in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z, \frac{1}{z}]]$. Then those generating functions specialize to the non-equivariant ones when we put $\lambda_i = 0$ for every i.

Let $(V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}} := \{x_1, \cdots, x_s\}$. Then, we can find the decompositions

$$(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}} = Y_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod Y_s \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}} = X_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod X_s$$

where Y_μ and X_μ correspond to x_μ in each fiber $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$. Note that $Y_\mu \cong Y$, $X_\mu \cong \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}^{n_j-1}$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\mu & \longrightarrow & X_\mu \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{V} /\!\!/_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G} \end{array}$$

is the fiber diagram between $\mathbf{S}$-equivariant morphisms for each $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$.

Let $i_\mu : Y_\mu \hookrightarrow E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ be embeddings. For each

$$\gamma \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z, \frac{1}{z}]],$$

consider two series

$$S^Q_\mu(\gamma) := i^*_\mu(S^Q_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma)) \ \text{ and } \ S_\mu(\gamma) := i^*_\mu(S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma))$$

in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(Y_\mu, \Lambda)[[t_i]][[z, \frac{1}{z}]] \cong H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(Y, \Lambda)[[t_i]][[z, \frac{1}{z}]]$. Let $\delta_\mu \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$ be dual class of $(i_\mu)_*[Y_\mu]$ and let $\{\rho_l\}$ be basis for $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ with dual basis $\{\rho^l\}$ with respect to Poincaré metric. Then the above series can be written as

$$S^Q_\mu(\gamma) = i^*_\mu(\gamma) + \sum_{l,(\beta,m)\neq(0,0)} \rho^l \frac{q^\beta}{m!} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z-\psi}, \gamma, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle^Q_{2+m,\beta}$$

$$\text{and} \ \ S_\mu(\gamma) = i^*_\mu(\gamma) + \sum_{l,(\beta,m)\neq(0,0)} \rho^l \frac{q^\beta}{m!} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z-\psi}, \gamma, \mathbf{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{t} \rangle_{2+m,\beta}.$$

5.2. **Fixed Loci with respect to S-action.** Fix $\beta \neq 0$ and an integer $m \geq 0$.

- Stable quasimap case.

All nodes, markings, ramifications and base-points of $\mathbf{S}$-fixed quasimap

$$(\phi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_{2+m}) \to (C_0, p_1, \cdots, p_{2+m}), f, P, u)$$

with respect to $\mathbf{S}$-action on $Q_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ must lie on $(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$. Indeed, for any irreducible component $C' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ of C, one of the followings must be satisfied:

(1) C' is not a component in C_0.
(2) The image of regularization map $[u']_{reg} : C' \to E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is in Y_μ for some $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$, and C' is a component in C_0. Here, regularization map is the unique extension of $C' \backslash \{\text{base points}\} \to E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ which is defined in section 2.8.
(3) $[u']_{reg} : C' \to E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is a covering map of 1-dimensional $\mathbf{S}$-orbit in $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$, totally ramified over the two fixed points in some fiber $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ and C' is a component in C_0.

In the case of (1) and (2), we will say that C' is a *contracted component* of the quasimap. In the case of (3), the nodes and markings map to the fixed loci by regularization map and there is no base-points on C'.

- Stable map case.

All nodes, markings and ramifications of $\mathbf{S}$-fixed (C, f) in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ must lie on $(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$, either. Indeed, for any irreducible component $C' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ of C, one of the followings must be satisfied:

(1) The image of $f|_{C'}$ is in Y_μ for some $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$.

(2) $f|_{C'}$ is a cover of 1-dimensional $\mathbf{S}$-orbit in $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$, totally ramified over the two fixed points in some fiber $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

In the case of (1), we will say that C' is a *contracted component* of the stable map. In the case of (2), the nodes and markings map to the fixed loci.

For both cases, we will define *unbroken (quasi)maps* by the same way as follows: Suppose x is a node of C with incident components C' and C''. Then, there are fractional cotangent weights $\alpha_{C',x}$ and $\alpha_{C'',x}$ from the $\mathbf{S}$-representations T_x^*C' and T_x^*C'', respectively. If C'(resp. C'') is a contracted component, then $\mathbf{S}$-representation T_x^*C'(resp. T_x^*C'') is trivial. Hence, $\alpha_{C',x}$(resp. $\alpha_{C'',x}$) is zero. Otherwise, $-n\alpha_{C',x} = w$(resp. $-n\alpha_{C'',x} = w$) where $n \geq 1$ is a covering number of C'(resp. C'') and w is one of the non-zero weights of the representation $T_y(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ where y is the image of x. The node x is called a *breaking node* if

$$\alpha_{C',x} + \alpha_{C'',x} \neq 0.$$

We say that $\mathbf{S}$-fixed (quasi)map of class $\beta \neq 0$ to $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ is *unbroken* if all nodes are not breaking nodes.

An $\mathbf{S}$-fixed (quasi)map with domain curve which has all its components as contracted components is by definition an unbroken (quasi)map. It is because the component in rational tails of domain curve in $\mathbf{S}$-fixed quasimap cannot be a ramification cover of 1-dimensional $\mathbf{S}$-orbit in $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$. An unbroken (quasi)map with domain curve which has a non-contracted component cannot have contracted components on its domain. Hence in this case, since we consider (quasi)maps with at least two markings, an unbroken (quasi)map must be two pointed stable map $f : (C, x_1, x_2) \to E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ with domain curve

$$C = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_l$$

a chain of non-contracted $\mathbb{P}^1$'s with $x_1 \in C_1$, $x_2 \in C_l$ and nodes

$$y_i = C_i \cap C_{i+1},\ i = 1, \cdots, l-1.$$

If we define a fractional cotangent weight α_x for each marking $x \in C$ by using the $\mathbf{S}$-representation T_x^*C, then

$$\alpha_{x_1} = -\alpha_{C_1,y_1},\ \alpha_{C_l,y_{l-1}} = -\alpha_{x_2},\ \alpha_{C_i,y_i} = -\alpha_{C_{i+1},y_i},\ i = 1, \cdots, l-1,$$

$$\alpha_{C_i,y_{i-1}} = -\alpha_{C_i,y_i},\ i = 2, \cdots, l-1.$$

In particular, $\alpha_{x_1} = -\alpha_{x_2} \neq 0$. We say that this map connects μ and ν if $f(x_1) \in Y_\mu$ and $f(x_2) \in Y_\nu$.

Let M be a connected component of $Q_{0,2}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}}$. If M contains an unbroken (quasi)map connecting μ and ν ($\mu \neq \nu$) with starting weight α_{x_1}, then all objects in M are unbroken (quasi)maps connecting μ and ν with starting weight α_{x_1}. We call this M as *unbroken component*.

Let M be a connected component of $Q_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}}$. We say that M is of *initial type* if it parametrizes (quasi)maps for which the first marking is on a contracted component. Otherwise, we say that M is of *recursion type*. If M is of recursion type, then it can be written as

$$M \cong M' \times_{(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}} M'' \tag{5.1}$$

where

- $M' \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta_{M'})^{\mathbf{S}}$ is unbroken component for some $\beta_{M'} \neq 0$.

- M'' is connected component of $Q_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta - \beta_{M'})^{\mathbf{S}}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta - \beta_{M'})^{\mathbf{S}}$ if $(m, \beta) \neq (0, \beta_{M'})$. If $(m, \beta) = (0, \beta_{M'})$, $M'' := (E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}$.
- $\alpha_M = \alpha_{M'} \neq \alpha_{M''}$, where α_M (resp. $\alpha_{M'}$, $\alpha_{M''}$) denotes the cotangent weight at the first marking in objects of M (resp. M', M'') if $(m, \beta) \neq (0, \beta_{M'})$. If $(m, \beta) = (0, \beta_{M'})$, define $\alpha_{M''} := 0$.

and the fiber product is taken by using the evaluation maps at second marking in M' and at first marking in M''. We have

$$Q_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}} = \coprod_{M:\text{initial}} M \coprod_{M':\text{unbroken}} (M' \times_{(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}} \coprod_{\alpha_{M'} \neq \alpha_{M''}} M'')$$

$$\text{and } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}} = \coprod_{M:\text{initial}} M \coprod_{M':\text{unbroken}} (M' \times_{(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}} \coprod_{\alpha_{M'} \neq \alpha_{M''}} M'').$$

5.3. **Recursion.** Fix $\mu \in \{1, \cdots, s\}$, a class β and an integer $m \geq 0$. We have decompositions of $Q_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)^{\mathbf{S}}$ which are different from the ones in the previous section. They can be decomposed into

$$\coprod_{M \in V(\mu,\beta,m)} M \coprod_{M' \in In(\mu,\beta,m)} M' \coprod_{M'' \in Rec(\mu,\beta,m)} M''$$

where

- $V(\mu, \beta, m)$ is the set which consists of components for which the first marking does not lie over Y_μ.
- $In(\mu, \beta, m)$ is the set which consists of components of initial type for which the first marking lies over Y_μ.
- $Rec(\mu, \beta, m)$ is the set which consists of components of recursion type for which the first marking lies over Y_μ.

Lemma 5.3.1. *For a class β and a tuple $\underline{k} := (k_i)_i$ of nonnegative integers, the coefficient $a_{l,\beta,\underline{k}}$ of $\rho^l q^\beta \prod_i t_i^{k_i}$ in $S_\mu^Q(\gamma)$ or $S_\mu(\gamma)$ is a rational function of z with coefficients in $K := \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_N)$ whenever $\gamma \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$. This rational function decomposes as a sum of simple partial fractions with denominators either powers of z, or powers of linear factors $z - \alpha$, where $-n\alpha$ is one of weights of the $\mathbf{S}$-representation $T_{x_\mu}(V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $m := \sum_i k_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{l,\beta,\underline{k}} &= \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \int_{[Q_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta')]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu) c_{\beta',\underline{k}}}{z - \psi_1} \\ &= \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{[Q_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta')]^{vir}} \frac{\psi_1^j \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu) c_{\beta',\underline{k}}}{z^{j+1}} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\beta',\underline{k}}$ is cohomology class in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(Q_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta'))$ pulled-back from $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})^{\otimes(m+1)}$ by the product of the remaining evaluation maps (this is independent of the choice of l). Here, the order on the set of effective classes is canonically defined as in Theorem 4.4.3. By the virtual localization theorem, we have

$$a_{l,\beta,\underline{k}} = \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \sum_M \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{[M]^{vir}} \frac{(\psi_1^j \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu) c_{\beta',\underline{k}})|_M}{z^{j+1} e^{\mathbf{S}}(N^{vir})}$$

where the second summation is taken over all connected components M in $Q_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta')^{\mathbf{S}}$. Note that this formula holds also for the coefficient in $S_\mu(\gamma)$ (stable map case).

If $M \in V(\mu,\beta',m)$, then $\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\delta_\mu)|_M = 0$. So, in this case, the contribution vanishes. If $M \in In(\mu,\beta',m)$, then $\psi_1|_M$ is non-equivariant cohomology class in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Q})$. So, it is nilpotent and hence the contribution in this case is finitely many fractions with denominator powers of z.

If $M \in Rec(\mu,\beta',m)$, then $\psi_1|_M = \psi_0 + \alpha_M$ where ψ_0 is non-equivariant cohomology class in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Q})$. Then the contribution is

$$\int_{[M]^{vir}} \frac{(\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)c_{\beta',\underline{k}})|_M}{((z-\alpha_M)-(\psi_1|_M-\alpha_M))e^{\mathbf{S}}(N^{vir})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{[M]^{vir}} \frac{\psi_0^j(\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)c_{\beta',\underline{k}})|_M}{(z-\alpha_M)^{j+1}e^{\mathbf{S}}(N^{vir})}.$$

So, again, by the nilpotency of ψ_0, the contribution is finitely many fractions with denominator powers of $z-\alpha_M$. □

Let $U(\mu)$ be the set of all components $M' \subset \coprod_{\beta'} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta')^{\mathbf{S}}$ such that it is unbroken component and $\mathrm{ev}_1(M') \subset Y_\mu$. For each M', let $\nu_{M'}$ be the index satisfying $\mathrm{ev}_2(M') \subset Y_{\nu_{M'}}$ and $\beta_{M'}$ be the class of objects in M'.

Lemma 5.3.2. *S_μ^Q and S_μ satisfy the recursion relations*

$$S_\mu^Q(z) = R_\mu^Q(z) + \sum_{M'\in U(\mu),l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z-\alpha_{M'}-\psi_0}, \pi^*(S^Q_{\nu_{M'},\alpha_{M'}}|_{z=\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty})\rangle_{M'}$$

and $$S_\mu(z) = R_\mu(z) + \sum_{M'\in U(\mu),l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z-\alpha_{M'}-\psi_0}, \pi^*(S_{\nu_{M'},\alpha_{M'}}|_{z=\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty})\rangle_{M'}$$

for all $\gamma \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\Lambda)$ where

- *ψ_0, ψ_∞ are non-equivariant cohomology classes in $H^2(M')$.*
- *Each $\rho^l q^\beta \prod_i t_i^{k_i}$-coefficient of $R_\mu^Q(z)$ or $R_\mu(z)$ is an element in $K[z,\frac{1}{z}]$.*
- *For ν, $S^Q_{\nu,\alpha}(z)$ is $S^Q_\nu(z)$ after removing the partial fraction terms with poles at $z=\alpha$. $S_{\nu,\alpha}(z)$ is similarly defined.*
- *The subscript M' for the bracket means the $\mathbf{S}$-virtual localization contribution of the component M' to the intersection number on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta_{M'})$.*

Proof. We will prove the lemma only for the case of S_μ^Q. For the case of S_μ, the proof is exactly same as the case of S_μ^Q. We will use virtual localization theorem.

First, let's define $R_\mu^Q(z)$ by summing all contributions with $\beta = 0$; the contributions with $\beta \neq 0$, $m \geq 0$ and component in $V(\mu,\beta,m)$ or $In(\mu,\beta,m)$. Then, by lemma 5.3.1, its coefficients are in $K[z,\frac{1}{z}]$. The remaining part is obtained by summing all contributions with $\beta \neq 0$, $m \geq 0$ and component in $Rec(\mu,\beta,m)$.

The contribution of an $M \in Rec(\mu,\beta,m)$ is

$$\sum_l \rho^l \frac{q^\beta}{m!}\int_{[M]^{vir}} \frac{1}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_M^{vir})}\left(\frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\gamma)\prod_{a=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t})}{z-\psi_1}|_M\right) \tag{5.2}$$

where N_M^{vir} is the virtual normal bundle of $M \subset Q_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)$. By (5.1),

$$M \cong M' \times_{(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})^{\mathbf{S}}} M'' \cong M' \times_{Y_{\nu_{M'}}} M''$$

with $M' \in U(\mu)$ for some $\beta_{M'} \neq 0$ and $M'' \in In(\nu_{M'},\beta-\beta_{M'},m)\coprod Rec(\nu_{M'},\beta-\beta_{M'},m)$. Here, $\alpha_{M'} = \alpha_M$ and $\alpha_{M''} \neq \alpha_M$.

We can easily see that

$$\frac{1}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_M^{vir})} = \frac{e^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathrm{ev}^*(N_{Y_{\nu_{M'}}/(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})}))}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M'}^{vir})e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M''}^{vir})(-\psi_2^{M'}-\psi_1^{M''})}$$

where $\mathrm{ev}: M \to Y_{\nu_{M'}}$ is an evaluation map of the second marking in M' (equivalently, of the first marking in M''); $N_{M'}^{vir}$ (resp. $N_{M''}^{vir}$) is the virtual normal bundle of $M' \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta_{M'})$ (resp. $M'' \subset Q_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta-\beta_{M'})$); ψ_i^M is i-th psi class of M. Here,

$$\psi_1^{M'} = \alpha_{M'} + \psi_0,\ -\psi_2^{M'} = \alpha_{M'} - \psi_\infty$$

for some non-equivariant classes ψ_0 and ψ_∞.

The equation (5.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l,s} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \int_{[M']^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\pi^*(\rho^s))}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M'}^{vir})(z-\psi_1^{M'})} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{q^{\beta-\beta_{M'}}}{m!} \int_{[M'']^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_s)e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{Y_{\nu_{M'}}/(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})}))\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\gamma)\prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+i}^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M''}^{vir})(\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty-\psi_1^{M''})} \\
&= \sum_{l,s} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \int_{[M']^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\pi^*(\rho^s))}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M'}^{vir})(z-\alpha_{M'}-\psi_0)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{q^{\beta-\beta_{M'}}}{m!} \int_{[M'']^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_s)\delta_{\nu_{M'}})\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\gamma)\prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+i}^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M''}^{vir})(\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty-\psi_1^{M''})} \\
&= \sum_{l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \int_{[M']^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_{M'}^{vir})(z-\alpha_{M'}-\psi_0)} \mathrm{ev}_2^*(\mathrm{Cont}_{M''}(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}}|_{z=\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty}))
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Cont}_{M''}(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}}|_{z=\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty})$ is the $\mathbf{S}$-virtual localization contribution of M'' to $S^Q_{\nu_{M'}}|_{z=\alpha_{M'}-\psi_\infty}$. □

5.4. **Polynomiality.** Recall that

$$\mathcal{L} := \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes \psi^*(\boxtimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_j-1}}(m))) \otimes i^*[E \times \mathbb{C}_\theta/\mathbf{G}]$$

is ample line bundle on $E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}$ in (2.6). Assume that it is very ample and that we can lift $\mathbf{S}$-action to $\mathcal{L}$. Then, we have

$$i : E/\!\!/\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^h$$

where $h = \dim H^0(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{L}) - 1$. For effective classes β, β^1 and β^2, let $U_{\beta^1,\beta^2}(\mathcal{L})$ be $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant line bundle on $QG_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta)$ obtained by pull back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $QG_{0,0,i_*(\beta)+i_*(\beta^1)+i_*(\beta^2)}(\mathbb{P}^h)$ by

$$QG_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta) \to QG_{0,0,i_*(\beta)+i_*(\beta^1)+i_*(\beta^2)}(\mathbb{P}^h). \tag{5.3}$$

Actually, for

$$(\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_0, f, \mathcal{P}, u, \alpha) \in QG_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta)(S),$$

we have

$$(\mathcal{P}, u) : \mathcal{C}_0 \to [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \ \text{ and } \ f : \mathcal{C} \to Y. \tag{5.4}$$

By using $\mathcal{L}_1 := [\tilde{V} \times \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\theta}}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$ on $[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$, we have

$$[\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \to [\mathbb{C}^{h_1}/\mathbb{C}^*]. \tag{5.5}$$

And by using $\mathcal{L}_2 := \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ on Y, we have

$$Y \to [\mathbb{C}^{h_2}/\mathbb{C}^*]. \tag{5.6}$$

Here, $h_1 := \dim H^0(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}, \tilde{V}^s(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}, \tilde{\theta}) \times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\theta}})$ and $h_2 := \dim H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_2)$. Since $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2$, we have

$$[\mathbb{C}^{h_1}/\mathbb{C}^*] \times [\mathbb{C}^{h_2}/\mathbb{C}^*] \to [\mathbb{C}^{h+1}/\mathbb{C}^*]. \tag{5.7}$$

Using (5.4),(5.5),(5.6) and (5.7), we have

$$QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to QG_{0,2+m,i_*(\beta)}(\mathbb{P}^h). \tag{5.8}$$

$$QG_{0,2+m,i_*(\beta)}(\mathbb{P}^h) \to QG_{0,0,i_*(\beta)+i_*(\beta^1)+i_*(\beta^2)}(\mathbb{P}^h) \tag{5.9}$$

is defined by the same way in [11, chapter 3]. (5.3) is the composition of (5.8) and (5.9).

For $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$, let

$$QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)_\mu$$

be the $\mathbf{S}$-fixed locus in $QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$ consisting of quasimaps for which the image of $\mathbb{P}^1$ lies on Y_μ under the regularization morphism. Let

$$\kappa_\mu : QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)_\mu \hookrightarrow QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$$

be the inclusion. Define

$$\mathrm{Res}_\mu[QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir} := (\kappa_\mu)_*\left(\frac{[QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)_\mu]^{vir}}{e^{\mathbf{S}}(N_\mu^{vir})}\right)$$

by the $\mathbf{S}$-virtual localization residue of the virtual class $[QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)_\mu]^{vir}$ inside the entire graph space at this locus.

Now, for $\gamma = \sum_\beta q^\beta \gamma_\beta$, $\delta = \sum_\beta q^\beta \delta_\beta \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$, we define

$$Z^Q_\mu(\gamma, \delta) := \sum_{m,\beta\geq 0} \frac{q^\beta}{m!} \sum_{\beta^1,\beta^2\geq 0} q^{\beta^1} q^{\beta^2} \mathrm{Res}_\mu[QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}$$
$$\cap e^{c_1(U_{\beta^1,\beta^2}(\mathcal{L}))y} \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma_{\beta^1} p_0) \mathrm{ev}_2^*(\delta_{\beta^2} p_\infty) \prod_{a=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t}).$$

Then it is an element in

$$(A^{\mathbf{S}}_*(QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta))_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_N]} K[[t_i]][[y]][[z]]$$

because it is defined without $\mathbb{C}^*$-localization, i.e., this has no pole at $z = 0$.

Also $Z_\mu(\gamma, \delta)$ is similarly defined by using the stable maps instead of stable quasimaps. Then it doesn't have a pole at $z = 0$, neither.

Note that $Z^Q_\mu(\gamma, \delta)$ and $Z_\mu(\gamma, \delta)$ can be defined for $\gamma, \delta \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z]]$ by a linear extension. In that case also, both have no pole at $z = 0$.

Now, for $m_1 + m_2 = 2 + m$, $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$, let

$$F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu = F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1} \times_{QG_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)} QG_{0,2+m}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)_\mu.$$

Then, by $\mathbb{C}^*$-localization formula,

$$Z^Q_\mu(\gamma,\delta) = (i_\mu)_* \sum_{m,\beta\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=2+m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} \frac{q^\beta}{m!}\frac{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu]^{vir}}{e^{\mathbf{S}\times\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir})} \cap e^{c_1(U_{\beta^1,\beta^2}(\mathcal{L}))y}\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t}).$$

where $i_\mu : \coprod_{\substack{m_1+m_2=2+m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu \hookrightarrow QG_{0,2+m}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\beta)$.

Here, since every component $F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu$ has evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_\bullet : F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu \to Y_\mu,$$

we can define

$$S^Q_\mu(\gamma,\delta) = (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \sum_{m,\beta\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=2+m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} \frac{q^\beta}{m!}\frac{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu]^{vir}}{e^{\mathbf{S}\times\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir})} \cap e^{c_1(U_{\beta^1,\beta^2}(\mathcal{L}))y}\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t}).$$

Then, it is an element in $H^*(Y_\mu,\Lambda)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K[[t_i]][[y]][[z]]$, i.e., this has no pole at $z=0$.

Similarly, we can define $S_\mu(\gamma,\delta)$ and it deosn't have a pole at $z=0$, neither.

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let $w_\mu := e^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{L})|_{Y_\mu}$. Then,*

$$S^Q_\mu(\gamma,\delta) = e^{w_\mu y} S^Q_\mu(q,\mathbf{t},z)(\gamma)\cup S^Q_\mu(qe^{-zy\mathcal{L}},\mathbf{t},-z)(\delta)$$

$$\textit{and}\quad S_\mu(\gamma,\delta) = e^{w_\mu y} S_\mu(q,\mathbf{t},z)(\gamma)\cup S_\mu(qe^{-zy\mathcal{L}},\mathbf{t},-z)(\delta)$$

for all $\gamma,\delta\in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\Lambda)[[z]]$, where the cup product is defined on the cohomology of Y. Hence, $S^Q_\mu(\gamma,\delta)$ and $S_\mu(\gamma,\delta)$ are actually elements in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(Y,\Lambda)[[t_i]][[y]][[z]]$.

Proof. Note that

$$U_{\beta^1,\beta^2}(\mathcal{L})|_{F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}} = \mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\mathcal{L})\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\beta_2(\mathcal{L})}$$

(See [11, section 5.2]). So,

$$\begin{aligned} S^Q_\mu(\gamma,\delta) &= (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \sum_{m,\beta\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=2+m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} \frac{q^\beta}{m!}\frac{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}|_\mu]^{vir}}{e^{\mathbf{S}\times\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir})} \\ &\qquad \cap e^{c_1(U_{\beta^1,\beta^2}(\mathcal{L}))y}\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t}) \\ &= \sum_{m,\beta\geq 0,l} \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=2+m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} e^{w_\mu y}e^{\beta_2(\mathcal{L})(-z)y}\rho^l \frac{q^\beta}{m!}\int_{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1})} \\ &\qquad \cap \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=1}^m \mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=2+m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} e^{\beta_2(\mathcal{L})(-z)y}\int_{[F^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu)}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{m_2,\beta_2}_{m_1,\beta_1})} \cup \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma p_0)\mathrm{ev}_2^*(\delta p_\infty)\prod_{a=1}^{m}\mathrm{ev}_{2+a}^*(\mathbf{t})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=m\\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta,\, s}} \binom{m}{m_1}\int_{[F_{1+m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma z)\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\pi^*(\rho^s\rho_l)\delta_\mu)\prod_{a=1}^{m_1}\mathrm{ev}_{1+a}^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{1+m_1,\beta_1})}\times$$
$$e^{\beta_2(\mathcal{L})(-z)y}\int_{[F_{1+m_2,\beta_2}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\delta(-z))\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\pi^*(\rho_s)\delta_\mu)\prod_{a=1}^{m_2}\mathrm{ev}_{1+a}^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N'^{vir}_{1+m_2,\beta_2})}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &S^Q_\mu(\gamma,\delta)\\ &= e^{w_\mu y}\sum_{\substack{m_1,\,m_2,\,\beta_1\geq 0,\,\beta_2\geq 0\\ l,\,s}} \rho^l\frac{q^{\beta_1}}{m_1!}\int_{[F_{1+m_1,\beta_1}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma z)\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\pi^*(\rho^s\rho_l)\delta_\mu)\prod_{a=1}^{m_1}\mathrm{ev}_{1+a}^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{1+m_1,\beta_1})}\\ &\qquad\times\frac{q^{\beta_2}e^{\beta_2(\mathcal{L})(-z)y}}{m_2!}\int_{[F_{1+m_2,\beta_2}]^{vir}} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(\delta(-z))\mathrm{ev}_\bullet^*(\pi^*(\rho_s)\delta_\mu)\prod_{a=1}^{m_2}\mathrm{ev}_{1+a}^*(\mathbf{t})}{e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(N'^{vir}_{1+m_2,\beta_2})}\\ &= e^{w_\mu y}S^Q_\mu(q,\mathbf{t},z)(\gamma)\cup S^Q_\mu(qe^{-zy\mathcal{L}},\mathbf{t},-z)(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

We also have a factorization of $S_\mu(\gamma,\delta)$ by the same way. □

Fix $\gamma\in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\Lambda)[[z]]$. For each $\mu=1,\cdots,s$, let

$$D(S^Q_\mu) := S^Q_\mu(q,\mathbf{t},z)(\gamma)\cup S^Q_\mu(qe^{-zy\mathcal{L}},\mathbf{t},-z)(\gamma)$$
$$\text{and}\quad D(S_\mu) := S_\mu(q,\mathbf{t},z)(\gamma)\cup S_\mu(qe^{-zy\mathcal{L}},\mathbf{t},-z)(\gamma).$$

Corollary 5.4.2. *For all $\mu=1,\cdots,s$, both $D(S^Q_\mu)$ and $D(S_\mu)$ do not have a pole at $z=0$. Moreover, they are in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(Y,\Lambda)[[t_i]][[y]][[z]]$.*

5.5. **Uniqueness Lemma.**

Lemma 5.5.1. *For $j=1,2$, let*

$$\{S_{j,\mu}|\mu=1,\cdots,s\}$$

be systems of power series in $H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(Y,\Lambda)[[t_i]][[z,\frac{1}{z}]]$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) *If we write*
$$S_{j,\mu}=\sum_{l,\beta}\rho^l q^\beta\sum_{\underline{k}} a_{j,l,\beta,\underline{k}}(z)\prod_i t_i^{k_i}$$
for $j=1,2$, then $a_{j,l,\beta,\underline{k}}(z)$ are in $K(z)$ and decomposed into sums of partial fractions with specified poles, as given by Lemma 5.3.1.

(2) *The systems*
$$\{S_{j,\mu}|\mu=1,\cdots,s\},\ j=1,2$$
satisfy the recursion relation which is in the statement of Lemma 5.3.2.

(3) *For $j=1,2$ and $\mu=1,\cdots,s$, let*
$$D(S_{j,\mu}) := S_{j,\mu}(q,\mathbf{t},z)\cup S_{j,\mu}(qe^{-zy\mathcal{L}},\mathbf{t},-z)$$
where the cup product is defined on the cohomology of Y. Then, they do not have a pole at $z=0$.

(4) *For all* μ,
$$S_{1,\mu} = S_{2,\mu} \pmod{\frac{1}{z^2}}.$$
(5) *For* $j = 1, 2$ *and all* μ,
$$S_{j,\mu} = i_\mu^*(e^{\frac{\mathbf{t}}{z}}) + O(q).$$
Then $S_{1,\mu} = S_{2,\mu}$ *for all* $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$.

Proof. For $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$ and $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, let
$$S_{j,\mu}^{(m,n)} := \sum_{\{\beta | \beta(\mathcal{L})=n\}, l} \rho^l q^\beta \sum_{\{\underline{k} | \sum k_i = m\}} a_{j,l,\beta,\underline{k}}(z) \prod_i t_i^{k_i}.$$
It suffices to prove that for all $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$ and all (m, n),
$$S_{1,\mu}^{(m,n)} = S_{2,\mu}^{(m,n)}. \tag{5.10}$$
We will prove this by the induction on (m, n) using lexicographic order on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. By the property (5), (5.10) is true for all $n = 0$. Let (m, n) be fixed with $n \geq 1$. We assume that (5.10) is true for all $(m', n') < (m, n)$ and all μ. Consider
$$\Delta_\mu := D(S_{1,\mu}) - D(S_{2,\mu}),\ \mu = 1, \cdots, s,$$
and let $\Delta_\mu^{(m,n)}$ be its part of bi-degree (m, n). By the induction assumption,
$$\Delta_\mu^{(m,n)} = (S_{1,\mu}^{(m,n)} - S_{2,\mu}^{(m,n)}) + e^{-zyn}(S_{1,\mu}^{(m,n)}(-z) - S_{2,\mu}^{(m,n)}(-z)).$$
By the property (2) and the induction assumption,
$$S_{1,\mu}^{(m,n)} - S_{2,\mu}^{(m,n)} \in H_{\mathbf{S}}^*(Y, \Lambda)[[t_i]][[z]][\frac{1}{z}].$$
So, it can be written as
$$z^{-2a}(\frac{A}{z} + B + O(z))$$
with $a \geq 0$ and $A, B \in H_{\mathbf{S}}^*(Y, \Lambda)[[t_i]]$ homogeneous polynomials of bi-degree (m, n) such that $A \neq 0$ or $B \neq 0$. Thus,
$$\Delta_\mu^{(m,n)} = z^{-2a}(2B + ynA + O(z)).$$
By the property (3), $a = 0$ and hence
$$S_{1,\mu}^{(m,n)} - S_{2,\mu}^{(m,n)} = \frac{A}{z} + B + O(z).$$
By the property (4), $S_{1,\mu}^{(m,n)} = S_{2,\mu}^{(m,n)}$. □

5.6. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.1.** For $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$, let
$$S_{1,\mu} := i_\mu^*(S^Q_{\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{t})}(z)(\gamma)) \ \text{ and } \ S_{2,\mu} := i_\mu^*(S_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(\gamma)).$$
We will prove theorem 5.1.1 by showing that these satisfy the conditions of the uniqueness lemma.

(1) Property (1) is satisfied by lemma 5.3.1.
(2) Property (2) is satisfied by lemma 5.3.2.
(3) Property (3) is satisfied by corollary 5.4.2.
(4) Property (4) is satisfied by (4.2).
(5) Property (5) is satisfied by definition of S-operators and of the transformation τ_γ.

5.7. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.2.** For $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$, let

$$S_{1,\mu} := i_\mu^*(S^Q_{\mathbf{t}}(z)(P^Q(\mathbf{t}, z))) \text{ and } S_{2,\mu} := i_\mu^*(S_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P(\tau(\mathbf{t}), z))).$$

We will prove theorem 5.1.2 by using the uniqueness lemma, either. We can easily see that conditions (1),(3),(4) and (5) of the uniqueness lemma are satisfied. Since lemma 5.3.2 is proven only for $\gamma \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$, we need to check condition (2).

To check that $S_{1,\mu}$ satisfies condition (2), recall that the formula

$$S^Q_\mu(z)(\gamma) = R^Q_\mu(z)(\gamma) + \sum_{M' \in U(\mu), l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z - \alpha_{M'} - \psi_0}, \pi^*(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\gamma)|_{z=\alpha_{M'} - \psi_\infty}) \rangle_{M'}.$$

This holds for $\gamma \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$. Since 1-dimensional orbits of $\mathbf{S}$-action on $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ are isolated, ψ_0 and ψ_∞ are zero for every $M' \in U(\mu)$. So, it becomes

(5.11)

$$S^Q_\mu(z)(\gamma) = R^Q_\mu(z)(\gamma) + \sum_{M' \in U(\mu), l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z - \alpha_{M'}}, \pi^*(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\gamma)|_{z=\alpha_{M'}}) \rangle_{M'}.$$

If we write for all $M' \in U(\mu)$,

$$P^Q(z) = P^Q(\alpha_{M'}) + (z - \alpha_{M'}) A^Q_{M'}(z),\ A^Q_{M'}(z) \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)[[z]],$$

then by (5.11) with linear extension about z variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,\mu} = R^Q_\mu(z)(P^Q(z)) &+ \sum_{M' \in U(\mu), l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu, \pi^*(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\alpha_{M'})(A^Q_{M'}(z))) \rangle_{M'} \\ &+ \sum_{M' \in U(\mu), l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z - \alpha_{M'}}, \pi^*(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\alpha_{M'})(P^Q(\alpha_{M'}))) \rangle_{M'}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for instance, $S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\alpha_{M'})(A^Q_{M'}(z))$ means

$$\sum_i (S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(z)(A_i))|_{z=\alpha_{M'}} z^i$$

where $A^Q_{M'}(z) = \sum_i A_i z^i$, $A_i \in H^*_{\mathbf{S}}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$. If we set

$$R_{1,\mu} := R^Q_\mu(z)(P^Q(z)) + \sum_{M' \in U(\mu), l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu, \pi^*(S^Q_{\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\alpha_{M'})(A^Q_{M'}(z))) \rangle_{M'},$$

then we have

$$S_{1,\mu}(z) = R_{1,\mu}(z) + \sum_{M' \in U(\mu), l} \rho^l q^{\beta_{M'}} \langle \frac{\pi^*(\rho_l)\delta_\mu}{z - \alpha_{M'}}, \pi^*(S_{1,\nu_{M'}, \alpha_{M'}}(\alpha_{M'})) \rangle_{M'}.$$

So, $S_{1,\mu}$ satisfies the condition (2). Similarly, we can check that $S_{2,\mu}$ satisfies the condition (2). We completed the proof.

6. Relationship between Small I and J Functions

In this chapter, all definitions and results follow [7, chapter 4]. Recall that

$$I(\mathbf{t} = 0, q, z) = \sum_\beta q^\beta (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{[F_{0,\beta}]^{vir}}{e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N^{vir}_{0,\beta})} \right).$$

For each β, let

$$I_\beta(q,z) := (\mathrm{ev}_\bullet)_* \left(\frac{[F_{0,\beta}]^{vir}}{e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{0,\beta}^{vir})} \right).$$

Then, $I(0,q,z) = \sum_\beta q^\beta I_\beta(q,z)$.

Let $\mathbf{t} = \sum_i t_i \gamma_i \in H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$ with the sum taken only over those γ_i which can be written as a product $\prod_j \gamma_{ij}$ of classes $\gamma_{ij} \in H^2(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$. Let H be the subring of $H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q})$ which consists of those $\mathbf{t}$'s.

Definition 6.0.1. The $\mathbb{I}$-function of $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$

$$\mathbb{I} : H \to H \otimes \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[z, \frac{1}{z}]]$$

is defined by

$$\mathbb{I}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_\beta q^\beta \exp\left(\frac{1}{z} \sum_i t_i \prod_j (\gamma_{ij} + \beta(\gamma_{ij}) z) \right) I_\beta(q,z)|_H.$$

Since β comes from $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(E), \mathbb{Z})$, $\beta(\gamma_{ij})$ is well-defined. We can see that

$$\mathbb{I}|_{H^0(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G},\mathbb{Q})} = I^{small}.$$

Theorem 6.0.2. *Suppose that there is an* $\mathbf{S}$*-action on* $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$*. Assume that this* $\mathbf{S}$*-action has isolated fixed points and isolated 1-dimensional orbits. Then* $\mathbb{I}$ *is on the Lagrangian cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of* $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$*.*

Proof. We will use the uniqueness lemma in section 5.5. By the same statement as in the proof of lemma 4.4.3, we can prove the following: there is a unique series $P'(\mathbf{t}, z) \in H \otimes \Lambda[[z]]$ and a unique transformation $\tau'(\mathbf{t})$ on $H \otimes \Lambda$ satisfying

- $P'(\mathbf{t}, z) = 1 + O(q)$
- $\tau'(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t} + O(q)$
- $\mathbb{I}(\mathbf{t}, z) = S_{\tau'(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P'(\tau'(\mathbf{t}), z)) \pmod{\frac{1}{z^2}}$.

Now, we regard $\mathbb{I}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $S_{\tau'(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P'(\tau'(\mathbf{t}), z))$ as $\mathbf{S}$-equivariant ones. For $\mu = 1, \cdots, s$, let

$$S_{1,\mu} := i_\mu^*(\mathbb{I}(\mathbf{t}, z)) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{2,\mu} := i_\mu^*(S_{\tau'(\mathbf{t})}(z)(P'(\tau'(\mathbf{t}), z))).$$

Then, by the same argument as in [7, theorem 4.2], we can check that they fulfill the conditions (1)-(5) in lemma 5.5.1. □

Corollary 6.0.3. *If the* $\mathbf{S}$*-action on* $V /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$ *has isolated fixed points and isolated 1-dimensional orbits, then* I^{small} *is on the Lagrangiam cone of the Gromov-Witten theory of* $E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$*.*

For toric fibrations, this corollary gives another proof of Brown's result (see [6, theorem 1]).

7. Twisted Theories

Suppose that V is smooth. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a vector bundle on Y and N be a finite dimensional $(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G})$-representation. Then,

$$\mathcal{N} := \tilde{V}^{ss}(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \tilde{\theta}) \times_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}} N$$

is a vector bundle on $\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$.

Suppose also that there are sections

$$s_1 \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{M}) \text{ and } \tilde{s}_2 \in H^0(\tilde{V}, \tilde{V} \times N)^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$$

such that s_1 and $s_2 \in H^0(\tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \mathcal{N})$ induced from $\tilde{s}_2$ satisfy the following:

$$s := (\pi^* s_1, p^* s_2) \in H^0(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \pi^*\mathcal{M} \otimes p^*\mathcal{N})$$

is regular where $p : E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G} \to \tilde{V} /\!\!/ \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}$. Let $i : X := s^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}$.

Let's assume further followings: for any $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to Y$,

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, f^*\mathcal{M}) = 0 \tag{7.1}$$

and for any quasimap $[u] : \mathbb{P}^1 \to [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$,

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, [u]^*[\tilde{V} \times N/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]) = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

Then, we can develop the genus 0 quasimap theory for X on

$$i^* H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}) \subset H^*(X)$$

with pairing $\int_{E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}} e(N_{X/(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G})}) - \cup -$ on $i^*H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ as follows:

For $k \geq 2$, $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\chi(\mathbf{T}),\mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}(V), \mathbb{Z})$, we can define a vector bundle on $Q_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)$:

$$E_{k,\beta} := R^0\tilde{\pi}_* f^*\mathcal{M} \oplus R^0\tilde{p}_*[u]^*[\tilde{V} \times N/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}]$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y & \xleftarrow{f} & \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{C}_0 & \xrightarrow{[u]} & [\tilde{V}/\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}] \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{p}} & & & \\ & & Q_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) & & & & \end{array}$$

is the diagram for universal ones. It is easy to check that $E_{k,\beta}$ becomes a vector bundle by (7.1) and (7.2). By using s, we can choose a section

$$s_{k,\beta} : Q_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta) \to E_{k,\beta}.$$

Define

$$Q_{0,k}(X, \beta) := s_{k,\beta}^{-1}(0) \xrightarrow{i_{k,\beta}} Q_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta).$$

Then, it is proper DM stack over $\mathbb{C}$.

Since V is smooth, by using s, we can also define

$$E_{k,\beta}^\vee \to E^\bullet$$

where $E^\bullet$ is the relative perfect obstruction theory for $Q_{0,k}(E/\!\!/\mathbf{G}, \beta)$ over $\mathfrak{Bun}^{0,k}_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$ which is defined in section 2.6. So, cone of this morphism defines relative perfect obstruction theory for $Q_{0,k}(X, \beta)$ over $\mathfrak{Bun}^{0,k}_{\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{G}}$. Using this, we have

$$(i_{k,\beta})_*([Q_{0,k}(X, \beta)]^{vir}) = e(E_{k,\beta}) \cap [Q_{0,k}(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}, \beta)]^{vir}.$$

We can also make the moduli stack of stable graph quasimaps for X, similarly.

So, we can define invariants, I-functions, S-operators and P-series by using this theory. This theory is called the *twisted quasimap theory* for X. Note that it is the theory only for genus 0.

There is also twisted Gromov-Witten theory for X which is nothing but usual genus 0 Gromov-Witten theory for X restricted to $i^*H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G}) \subset H^*(X)$.

If V has extra torus action like in section 5, then theorems 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and 6.0.2 also hold for twisted theories.

Remark 7.0.4. Suppose that $\tilde{V}' := \tilde{s}_2^{-1}(0)$ can be written as

$$\tilde{V}' = W' \times V',$$

$W' \subset W$, $V' \subset V$ and $\tilde{V}' \cap \tilde{V}^s(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{G}, \tilde{\theta})$ is smooth. Suppose further that $Y' := s_1^{-1}(0)$ is smooth. Then, we can define the usual quasimap theory for X.

Genus 0 quasimap theory for X restricted to $i^*H^*(E /\!\!/ \mathbf{G})$ is the same as twisted quasimap theory for X just like as Gromov-Witten theory.

References

[1] M. Artin, *Versal Deformations and Algebraic Stacks*, Invent. Math. **27** (1974), 165-189.

[2] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no.1, 45-88.

[3] K. Behrend and Y. Manin, *Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants*, Duke Math. J. **85** (1996), no.1, 1-60.

[4] A. Bertram, I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Two proofs of a conjecture of Hori and Vafa*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no.1, 101-136.

[5] A. Bertram, I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Gromov-Witten invariants for Abelian and Nonabelian Quotients*, J. Algebraic Geom. **17**(2) (2008), 275-294.

[6] J. Brown, *Gromov-Witten Invariants of Toric Fibrations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2014), no.19, 5437-5482.

[7] D. Cheong, I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Orbifold Quasimap Theory*, Math. Ann. **363**(3-4) (2015), 777-816.

[8] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Big I-functions*, arXiv:1401.7417, To appear in Proceedings of the conference on the occasion of Mukai's 60th birthday.

[9] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Higher genus quasimap wall-crossing for semi-positive targets*, arXiv:1308.6377, To appear in JEMS.

[10] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Moduli Stacks of Stable Toric Quasimaps*, Adv. in Math. **225** (2010), 3022-3051.

[11] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Wall-crossing in genus zero quasimap theory and mirror maps*, Algebr. Geom. **1** (2014), no.4, 400-448.

[12] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim and D. Maulik, *Stable Quasimaps to GIT Quotients*, J. Geom. Phys. **75** (2014), 17-47.

[13] D. Cox, *Recent Developments in Toric Geometry*, arXiv:9606016, To appear in Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics. AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY **62** (1997), 389-436.

[14] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov and A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional Determinants*, Springer Science & Business Media, (2008).

[15] A. Givental, *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1996), no.13, 613-663.

[16] A. Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, To appear in "Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996)", Progr. Math., 160, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998, 141-175.

[17] T. Gómez, *Algebraic stacks*, Proc. Math. Sci. **111** (2001), no.1, 1-31.

[18] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no.2, 487-518.

[19] Y. Manin, *Frobenius Manifolds, Quantum Cohomology, and Moduli Spaces*, American Mathematical Soc., (1996).

[20] C. Manolache, *Virtual pull-backs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:0805.2065.

[21] A. Marian, D. Oprea and R. Pandharipande, *The moduli space of stable quotients*, Geometry & Topology, **15** (2011), 1651-1706.

[22] A. Mustata and A. Mustata, *Intermediate moduli spaces of stable maps*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), no.1, 47-90.

[23] M. Olsson, *(Log) twisted curves*, Compositio Mathematica **143**(02) (2007), 476-494.
[24] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Springer Science & Business Media, (2007).

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: ojs0603@kaist.ac.kr